Network licence condition 7 (land disposal): Rogart station, Highland

Decision

1. On 24 June 2014, Network Rail gave notice of its intention to dispose of land at Rogart station, Highland (the land) in accordance with paragraph 7.2 of condition 7 of its network licence. The land is described in more detail in the notice (copy attached).

2. We have considered the information supplied by Network Rail including the responses received from third parties you have consulted. For the purposes of condition 7 of Network Rail’s network licence, ORR consents to the disposal of the land in accordance with the particulars set out in its notice.

Reasons for decision

3. We are satisfied that Network Rail has consulted all relevant stakeholders with current information. No alternative reasonably foreseeable railway use for the land was identified and no objections were received. We note that:
   
   • there is no evidence that railway operations at Rogart station would be adversely affected; Network Rail will retain its access rights to the operational railway; and
   
   • Network Rail has yet to complete the station change procedure but it must do so prior to disposing of the land. This procedure will deal with matters related to the layout of the station facilities and requires the station facility owner and train operator using the station to be consulted on any proposed changes.

4. Based on all the evidence we have received and taking into account all the material facts and views relevant to our consideration under condition 7, we are satisfied that there are no issues for us to address.

5. We have had regard to our decision criteria in Land disposal by Network Rail: the regulatory arrangements, December 2013, and balanced our section 4 duties given to us under the Railways Act 1993. In doing so we have given particular weight to our duty to exercise our functions in a manner which we consider best calculated to “protect the interests of users of railway services”.

1 Available from www.rail-reg.gov.uk/server/show/nav.150
6. We have therefore concluded that the proposed disposal is not against the interests of users of railway services and that our consent should be granted.

Les Waters

Duly authorised by the Office of Rail Regulation
### Proposed Property Disposal

**Application by Network Rail Infrastructure Limited to dispose of land in accordance with the Land Disposal Condition of the Network Licence**

#### 1. Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site location and description</th>
<th>Land and former permanent way bothy (sleeper building) at Rogart Station, Scotland. The site is shown shaded blue on sale plan 66194 and is comprised of 1154 square metres. The site is bounded to the north by fields and to the south by railway line. Network Rail’s retained land is shown coloured green on the plan. The station car park is located to the East of the sales area within the land coloured green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plans attached: (all site plans should be in JPEG format, numbered and should clearly show the sites location approximate to the railway)</td>
<td>The following plans are attached: Rogart sale plan 66194 Fencing and access plan Station lease plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Ref:</td>
<td>CR/20674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project No.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordnance survey coordinates</td>
<td>E272479, N902000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photographs (as required)</td>
<td>View of Station Car Park Aerial View House Initially Sold to the proposed purchaser Former P-way Bothy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of disposal (i.e. lease / freehold sale)</th>
<th>Freehold Sale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed party taking disposal</td>
<td>xxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use / scheme</td>
<td>The land is proposed to be used to extend an existing accommodation business. xxxx already owns the adjoining former station house at Rogart Station and an associated area of land. Part of the area to be sold forms part of the station lease to First Scotrail. xxxx leases this area from First Scotrail and runs an accommodation business in old railway sleeper carriages on this land and the adjacent land she owns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access arrangements to / from the disposal land</td>
<td>There is an existing access road which serves the disposal area from Station Road, Rogart at the access point marked ‘A’ on the attached plan and which allows pedestrian and vehicular access. Network Rail will retain a vehicular and pedestrian right of access from point ‘A’ to the access gates at point ‘B’ in order to access the operational railway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement rail facilities (if appropriate)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Rail benefits</td>
<td>The sale will hopefully attract additional rail customers as the accommodation is best reached by rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Non-rail benefits</td>
<td>Removal of liability through the disposal of the former permanent way bothy. The disposal will be of benefit to the local community and economy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Timescales

| Comments on timescales | The disposal is anticipated to be complete by the end of August 2014, subject to receipt of ORR consent. |

### 4. Railway Related Issues

<p>| History of railway related use | The former permanent way bothy has not been used since before pre-privatisation in 1994. The remaining disposal area has not been used for railway purposes since and all live operational equipment has been re-located from within the sale area to Network Rail owned land. The former signal box was moved to the site by the purchaser and is used as a drying facility. |
| When last used for railway related purposes | Pre-privatisation in 1994 |
| Any railway proposals affecting the site since that last relative use | None, other than the ongoing requirement for access over the site to the railway infrastructure. |
| Impact on current railway related proposals | None |
| Potential for future railway related use | There is no reasonably foreseeable railway related use for the disposal area. Rogart station is a request stop only with a passenger footfall of 1662 entries and exits in the year 12/13. The existing station car park is adequate to meet existing demand. On average, only one space per day within the station car park is used. There are no references to Rogart in the relevant Route Utilisation Strategy and there are no other strategic plans within the Rogart area as confirmed by Head of Strategy &amp; Planning, Scotland. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Any closure or station change or network change related issues</th>
<th>Station change will be completed in advance of the disposal being concluded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whether disposal affects any railway (including train operator) related access needs, and how these are to be addressed in future</td>
<td>First Scotrail is fully supportive of this sale. There are 8 parking spaces at the station which will be unaffected by the disposal. The waiting shelter on the platform is excluded from the disposal so will remain within the station lease.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Position as regards safety / operational issues on severance of land from railway | 1. The disposal includes arrangements under which the other party will install new boundary fencing along parts of the railway boundary (only between points C and D on fencing plan as sufficient fencing already exists for the remaining boundaries). Once fencing has been put in place between these points to the satisfaction of Network Rail, responsibility for future maintenance will revert to Network Rail.  
2. The disposal is on a basis under which Network Rail has had due regard (where applicable) to impact of the disposal on lineside works, including railway troughing, signalling and their maintenance. The disposal is without prejudice to Network Rail’s safety obligations, with which Network Rail will continue to comply. Network Rail’s network licence requires compliance with Railway Group Standards. These set out requirements for – amongst other things – fencing, access and signal sighting. In addition, the Railways and Other Guided Transport Systems (Safety) Regulations 2006 require Network Rail to have a safety management system and safety authorisation in respect of its mainline railway system and its railway infrastructure. These, in turn, require Network Rail to comply with Railway Group Standards as well as its own internal standards; and also continually to monitor changes to the risks arising from its operations and to introduce new control measures as appropriate. |

### 5. Planning History and Land Contamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning permissions / Local Plan allocation (if applicable)</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contamination / Environmental Issues (if applicable)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Consultations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Railway (internal – Network Rail)</th>
<th>Network Rail internal clearance (business and technical) has been secured (CR/20674). The relevant RUS has been reviewed and has not revealed any strategic plans. This has been confirmed by the Scotland Strategic Planner.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary of position as regards external consultations</td>
<td>There were no objections to the disposal and Hi Trans, the Transport Partnership for this area, was particularly supportive of the disposal as it fits well with its aspirations to see redundant rail buildings at Stations brought back into use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of any unresolved objections together with recommendation by Network Rail as regards a way forward

There were no unresolved objections.

### 7. Local Authorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names &amp; Email Addresses:</th>
<th>Highland Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Transport Authorities:</td>
<td>Hi Trans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Relevant Local Authorities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8. Declaration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Declaration of Surveyor:</th>
<th>I have read and understood Network Rail’s Code of Business Ethics and Policy on Interests in Transactions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declaration of Property Services Manager:</td>
<td>I have read and understood Network Rail’s Code of Business Ethics and Policy on Interests in Transactions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9. Internal Approval

| Surveyor Name: | |
|----------------||
| Approved by Property Services Manager | Name: |
|                                             | Date Approved by PDM: 20th June 2014 |
View of station car park

Aerial view
House initially sold to proposed purchaser

Former P-way Bothy
PROPOSED LAND DISPOSAL CONSULTATION REPORT

relating to

APPLICATION BY NETWORK RAIL INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED FOR REGULATORY CONSENT UNDER THE LAND DISPOSAL CONDITION OF ITS NETWORK LICENCE

This report is provided as a supplement to our forms for the proposed disposal of land at:

Property: Land and Buildings at Rogart

We have consulted in relation to this evaluation, and summarise the results of this as follows:

**Summary of position regarding responses**: The initial consultation was sent out on 17th September 2013 and due to the delay in obtaining some responses a 2nd consultation was circulated on 18th March 2014. All of those that didn’t respond in the initial consultation responded in the 2nd consultation. Updated responses were also obtained from those that responded in the initial phase except from Northern Rail as they didn’t respond to the extended consultation. Northern Rail do not have any interests in this area so it is not deemed necessary to have their response. British Transport Police responded to the initial consultation on the 14th January 2014 so it was not deemed necessary to get an updated response during the 2nd consultation.

The full list of external consultees is set out below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>External party (name)</th>
<th>Whether response received (y/n)</th>
<th>Date of response</th>
<th>Details of response (e.g. “no comment”), with reference to any accompanying copy representation in annexes to this report</th>
<th>Comments (e.g. as regards endeavours to obtain response where none given)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Transport Scotland</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>09/10/13 27/03/14</td>
<td>Supportive of Proposal Comment still stands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arriva Trains Cross Country</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>19/09/13 24/03/14</td>
<td>No objection No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C2c Rail Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chiltern Railway Company Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18/09/13 18/03/14</td>
<td>No comment Original response remains as stated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Eurostar International Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>01/04/14</td>
<td>No issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>First Great Western Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18/09/13 19/03/14</td>
<td>No comment No change to response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>First ScotRail Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21/10/13 18/03/14</td>
<td>No issues Comment still stands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Grand Central Railway Company Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>02/04/14</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>London &amp; South Eastern Railway Limited (Southeastern)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>10/04/14</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Merseyrail Electrics 2002 Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18/09/13 18/03/14</td>
<td>No comment No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Northern Rail Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/10/13</td>
<td>No objections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>COLAS Freight</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>07/04/14</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Direct Rail</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>30/10/13</td>
<td>No objections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>Comment still stands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DB Schenker</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>16/10/13</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/04/14</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Captrain UK</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14/10/13</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No longer a consultee as of 24/01/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Freight Transport Association</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14/10/13</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Freightliner Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18/09/13</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02/04/14</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>GB Railfreight Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>02/04/14</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Rail Freight Group</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>17/09/13</td>
<td>Okay with RFG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>Still okay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>West Coast Railway Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14/10/13</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>W H Malcolm</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>19/09/13</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Association of Community Rail Partnerships</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>15/10/13</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>British Transport Police</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14/01/14</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Passenger Focus</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/09/13</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Scottish Council for Development and Industry</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>07/04/14</td>
<td>No objections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Local Authority</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>18/09/13</td>
<td>No objections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/14</td>
<td>No objections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Highland &amp; Islands Transport Partnership</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>27/05/14</td>
<td>Fits well with Hi Trans aspirations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A copy of the consultation request (before customisation for any individuals) is given in Annex 1.

Copies of responses are given in Annex 2 to this report, as indicated above.
Annex 1 – Network Rail’s consultation emails.

From: @networkrail.co.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 09:53
To:
Subject: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
I refer to the above and advise that there was delay in completing the LC7 process. I did not receive a response to the initial consultation and would be grateful to have your response by Tuesday 1st April 2014.

Kind Regards
Property
Buchanan House, 58 Port Dundas Road, Glasgow G4 0LQ Tel:
Email: @networkrail.co.uk
www.networkrail.co.uk/property

From: @networkrail.co.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 09:43
To:
Subject: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
I refer to the above and advise that there was delay in completing the LC7 process. As the comments received from stakeholders may be outdated, I would be grateful if you could confirm that your comment submitted below still stands. I would be grateful to have your response by Tuesday 1st April 2014.

Kind Regards
Property
Buchanan House, 58 Port Dundas Road, Glasgow G4 0LQ Tel:
Email: @networkrail.co.uk
www.networkrail.co.uk/property

From: @networkrail.co.uk
Sent: 17 September 2013 17:00
To:
Cc:
Subject: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Dear Consultee,

Property: Land and Building at Rogart

We seek to consult you as regards your views, please, on our proposed disposal by way of freehold sale.

We attach a draft application form to the Office of Rail Regulation which, with its related plan(s), explains the proposal in detail. Subject to the outcome of our consultation, we may make a formal application to ORR for consent to make the disposal under the terms of our network licence land disposal condition. We would expect to make an application based on this form, updated in the light of consultation responses.

Alternatively, if in the light of the consultation responses, the proposed disposal would qualify to be made under ORR’s general consent, we may complete it accordingly.

ORR reviewed our land disposal arrangements so that from 1 April 2008, ORR will no longer launch any separate consultations when we apply for consent to dispose of land. The arrangements are that we will consult and report the results to ORR in conjunction with our application. It is therefore important that we have your views, so that these may be considered in ORR’s decision.

We request your comments, please, by 15th October 2013 (including any “no comment” response). It would be helpful if your response is provided by email.

If you have any queries as regards this proposal, please direct them to xxxx, @networkrail.co.uk. If future consultations of this nature should be directed differently to your organisation, please advise us of the appropriate contact details, so we may amend our records.

Yours faithfully,
Annex 2 – Stakeholder Responses

1 – Transport Scotland
From: @transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk
Sent: 27 March 2014 15:57
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Hi
The earlier comment from Transport Scotland still stands.
Thanks,
From: @transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk
Sent: 09 October 2013 17:41
To:
Subject: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Hi
Transport Scotland are supportive of the proposal.

2 – Arriva Trains Cross Country
From: @crosscountrytrains.co.uk
Sent: 24 March 2014 11:00
To:
Subject: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
XC Trains has no objection to this proposal.
Regards
From: @crosscountrytrains.co.uk
Sent: 19 September 2013 13:37
To:
Subject: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
XC Trains has no objection to this proposal.
Regards

3 – c2c Rail Limited
From: @c2crail.net
Sent: 18 March 2014 11:07
To:
Subject: Re: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Dear
I confirm on behalf of c2c Rail Ltd that we have no objection to the planned land disposal.
Kind regards,
2nd Floor, Cutlers Court, 115 Houndsditch, London EC3A 7BR

4 – Chiltern Railway Company Ltd
From: @chilternrailways.co.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 11:22
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Dear
I can confirm that our original response remains as stated.
Kind regards,
From: (@chilternrailways.co.uk)
Sent: 18 September 2013 10:03
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
I have no comment to make on behalf of Chiltern Railways concerning this proposal.
Regards

5 – Eurostar International Ltd
From: @eurostar.com
Sent: 01 April 2014 09:55
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
No issue for EIL
Kind regards,
6 – First Great Western
From: @firstgroup.com
Sent: 19 March 2014 13:58
To:
Subject: Re: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Thank you
No change to the response.

From: @firstgroup.com
Sent: 18 September 2013 09:20
To:
Subject: Re: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Good morning
FGW has no comment thank you.

7 – First ScotRail Limited
From: @firstgroup.com
Sent: 18 March 2014 12:34
To:
Subject: Re: FW: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Comment still stands.
regards
From: @firstgroup.com
Sent: 21 October 2013 10:02
To:
Subject: Re: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
No issues for and on behalf of ScotRail.

8 – Grand Central Railway Company Limited
From: @grandcentralrail.com
Sent: 02 April 2014 10:52
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Apologies for the delay in getting back to you.
GC has no comment to make on this proposal.
Regards

9 – London & South Eastern Railway ltd
From: @southeasternrailway.co.uk
Sent: 10 April 2014 09:42
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Apologies, this had been logged as responded to. Southeastern have no comment on this proposal.
Regards

10 – Merseyrail Electrics 2002 Limited
From: @merseyrail.org
Sent: 18 March 2014 11:53
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Merseyrail have no comments on the above proposal.
Regards
From: @merseyrail.org
Sent: 18 September 2013 10:09
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Hi
Merseyrail have no comments on the above disposal.
Regards
11 – Northern Rail Ltd
From: @northernrail.org
Sent: 23 October 2013 17:24
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Dear
With reference to your email below. For your records, Northern Rail Ltd have no objections to the proposed disposal of land and Building at Rogart. Please accept my apologies for missing your deadline for responding.
Yours faithfully

12 – Colas Freight
From: @colasrail.co.uk
Sent: 07 April 2014 10:06
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
No comment
Regards

13 – Direct Rail Services Ltd
From: @drsl.co.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 14:44
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Still stands
Regards
From: @drsl.co.uk
Sent: 30 October 2013 10:58
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Apologies for late response, I can confirm that Direct Rail Services have no objections to the proposed land and Building disposal at Rogart.
Regards

14 – DB Schenker
From: @dbschenker.com
Sent: 10 April 2014 11:25
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
I can confirm that DB Schenker continues to have no objection to the proposed land disposal as described.
Yours,
From: @dbschenker.com
Sent: 16 October 2013 06:52
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
I can confirm that DB Schenker has no objection to the proposed land disposal as described. The purchaser will be aware of freight train operations on the line which may be noticeable to those using the accommodation and DB Schenker expects these to continue.

15 – Captrain UK Ltd
From: @captrain.co.uk
Sent: 14 October 2013 12:05
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Apologies for the delay in responding – we have no comments.
Regards.

16 – Freight Transport Association
From: @fta.co.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 10:53
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Yes thanks, we have no comment
Freight Transport Association
From: @fta.co.uk
Sent: 14 October 2013 14:01
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Apologies we have no comment.

Freight Transport Association
Mobile:
www.fta.co.uk

17 – Freightliner Ltd
From: @Freightliner.co.uk
Sent: 02 April 2014 10:19
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Hi
Apologies for delay in responding
I can confirm that my previous 'no comment' still stands
Regards
From: @Freightliner.co.uk
Sent: 18 September 2013 09:07
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Freightliner has no comment to make on this proposal
Regards

18 – GB Railfreight
From: @gbrailfreight.com
Sent: 02 April 2014 12:17
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
I can confirm that GB Railfreight Ltd has no objection to the proposed disposal of land and buildings at Rogart as detailed in the attachments to your email of today.
Regards
GBRf

19 – Railfreight Group
From: @rfg.org.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 11:36
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Still ok, thanks.
From: @rfg.org.uk
Sent: 17 September 2013 17:10
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Ok with RFG, Thanks

20 – West Coast Railway Limited
From: @aol.com
Sent: 18 March 2014 11:47
To:
Subject: Re: FW: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
No change
WCR
T
E @aol.com
From: @aol.com
Sent: 14 October 2013 09:31
To:
Subject: Re: FW: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
No comments
WCR
21 – W H Malcolm
From: @whm.co.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 10:50
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
I confirm WH Malcolm has no objections to the proposal.
From: @whm.co.uk
Sent: 19 September 2013 17:07
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
WH Malcolm has no objections to the proposal.

22 – Association of Community Rail Partnerships
From: @btconnect.com
Sent: 18 March 2014 18:51
To:
Subject: Re: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
If nothing’s changed, ACoRP continue to have no objection.
ACoRP
From: @btconnect.com
Sent: 15 October 2013 12:34
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
ACoRP have no objection to this disposal

23 – British Transport Police
From: @btp.pnn.police.uk
Sent: 14 January 2014 14:52
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
No comment
Strategic Development Department

24 – Passenger Focus
From: @passengerfocus.org.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 11:52
To:
Subject: Re: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart 1609e14
No change
Passenger Focus has no objection to the proposed sale.
Regards,
From: @passengerfocus.org.uk
Sent: 20 September 2013 13:27
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart 1609e14
Thank you for sending Passenger Focus details of the proposed disposal of land at Rogart station. They note that:
land and part of the station building will be sold, freehold, to xxxx;
it will be added to land she already owns and is used for a holiday lets business;
as part of the land is included in the station lease area a station change will be issued to revise the area;
the sale is likely to be complete by the end of January 2014.
Passenger Focus has no objection to the proposed sale.
Regards,

25 – Scottish Council for Development and Industry
From: @scdi.org.uk
Sent: 07 April 2014 15:22
To:
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart
Our comment is as follows:
SCDI has no objections to this disposal which will bring land and assets back into economically productive use,
with associated benefits to passenger footfall and the local area.
Kind regards,
26 – Highland Council
From: @highland.gov.uk
Sent: 18 March 2014 14:56
To: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart

I would confirm that Highland Council have no objections to the sale, and our original comments still stand.
Regards

27. Highland and Islands Transport Partnership
From: @hitrans.org.uk
Sent: 27 May 2014 17:28
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Consultation on proposed land disposal: Land and Building at Rogart

Dear 

Apologies for the late reply to your email regarding the disposal of land and a building at Rogart in Sutherland. I am pleased to confirm that this disposal fits well with HITRANS aspiration to see redundant rail buildings at Stations in our region find a useful purpose by transferring into private ownership or operation.
Kind regards,