Wycherley, Briony

From: Sent: To:	John Sears <john.sears@passengerfocus.org.uk> 06 December 2012 17:35 Wycherley, Briony</john.sears@passengerfocus.org.uk>
Cc:	Mike Hewitson
Subject:	ORR's regulation of land disposals by Network Rail 0312a13
Briony,	
Thank you for sending Les Waters	' letter ORR's regulation of land disposals by Network Rail to Passenger Focus.
development of the railway netwo Some land that is proposed for distorthe operational railway. It is possible that a possible the consultation are proposed land disposal evaluation use for land, but perhaps should be	objective — "to protect land that has a reasonably foreseeable use in the future ork" — is unchanged. Passenger Focus believes that is a vital part of your regulation. sposal is obviously of no future use, but other land could again become required articularly important that, with growing demand for rail transport, land is not sold ad consideration. To that end, section 4 <i>Railway Related Issues</i> of Network Rail's in <i>form</i> V1.1 provides for adequate information regarding possible future railway be re-ordered to state first if there is any potential for future railway related use. It letely clear if land has no foreseeable rail use.
Passenger Focus has a few comm	ents and questions about the text in section 1. of the General consent.
(c) (i) – why is the grant to a "pers	son", and should it state that person is qualified or licensed?
(j) – how will "major developmen	ts" be identified?
• • • •	provide adequate notice of any prior consultation, and confirm that all "relevant ed. Their consultation reports show that they take reasonable steps to obtain
What is the mechanism for makin	g an objection if it is felt that Network Rail has over-stepped its authority?
As well as Network Rail having an an obligation to respond in a usef	obligation to comply with the terms of the general consent, consultees also have ful and timely fashion.
Regards,	
John Sears.	
Tel. 028 6638 9771.	