Network licence condition 7 (land disposal): Kingswood station, Surrey

Decision

1. On 11 January 2016, Network Rail gave notice of its intention to dispose of land north of Kingswood station, Surrey (the land) in accordance with paragraph 7.2 of condition 7 of its network licence. The land is described in more detail in the notice (copy attached). Network Rail also provided an additional plan at Annex B.

2. We have considered the information supplied by Network Rail including the responses received from third parties you have consulted. For the purposes of condition 7 of Network Rail’s network licence, ORR consents to the disposal of the land for area A as detailed on plan 79195 in accordance with the particulars set out in its notice.

3. The land of area B on plan 79195 falls within the scope of the general consent mechanism. Network Rail confirmed it will use this process as detailed in our decision criteria in Land disposal by Network Rail: the regulatory arrangements, December 2013.

Reasons for decision

4. We are satisfied that Network Rail has consulted all relevant stakeholders with current information. We note that:

   - there is no evidence that railway operations at Kingswood station would be affected adversely;
   - the development will facilitate increased car parking capacity for rail users;
   - Network Rail stated it will provide temporary station car parking spaces during the construction phase to address the concerns raised by Govia Thameslink Railway. No other concerns were raised; and
   - no alternative reasonably foreseeable railway use for the land was identified.

5. We also note that Network Rail has yet to complete the station change procedure but it must do so prior to disposing of the land. That procedure is the mechanism that deals with matters related specifically to the layout of the station and its facilities. It requires the station facility owner and train operators using the station to be consulted on any proposed changes.

1 Available from www.rail-reg.gov.uk/server/show/nav.150
5. Based on all the evidence we have received and taking into account all the material facts and views relevant to our consideration under condition 7, we are satisfied that there are no issues for us to address.

6. We have had regard to our decision criteria in line with our regulatory arrangements¹ and balanced our section 4 duties given to us under the Railways Act 1993. In doing so we have given particular weight to our duty to exercise our functions in a manner which we consider best calculated to “protect the interests of users of railway services”.

7. We have therefore concluded that the proposed disposal is not against the interests of users of railway services and that our consent should be granted.

Les Waters

Duly authorised by the Office of Rail and Road
## 1. Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site location and description</th>
<th>Land North of Kingswood Railway Station, East of St Monica’s Road &amp; North of Waterhouse Lane, Surrey KT20 6EN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The property proposed for disposal is in two parts, identified on the plan referred to below:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Area A</strong> (c. 1.0 acre) – Freehold disposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consists mainly of commercial buildings, offices and external yard areas currently let by Network Rail to commercial tenants. It also includes two small areas on the northern edge of the station car park within the station lease area, mainly used for access to the commercial area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Area B</strong> (c. 0.25 acre) – Access rights (not freehold sale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forms part of the existing station car park within the station lease.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Plans attached:

Plan 79195 A (extract below) shows Network Rail’s retained land coloured green with:
- **Area A** – coloured blue (freehold disposal area)
- **Area B** – coloured brown (access and services rights only to be granted over retained station lease area for the benefit of Area A.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Clearance Ref:</strong></th>
<th>CR/11173 and CR/25854</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project No.</strong></td>
<td>148216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Ordnance survey coordinates** | Easting - 524837  
Northing - 156598  
TQ 248565 |
| **Photographs (as required)** | ![Image](image-url) |

### 2. Proposal

| **Type of disposal (i.e. lease / freehold sale)** | **Area A - Freehold sale (blue)**  
**Area B – Deed of Easement (brown) granting access and services rights over the station lease area to benefit of the proposed residential development on Area A. The access will be shared with users of the station car park.** |
| **Proposed party taking disposal** | Solum Regeneration (Kingswood) LLP or such other party or body associated with Solum Regeneration. |
| **Proposed use / scheme** | Redevelopment for residential apartments with on-site residential parking separate to the station car park, subject to obtaining planning permission. |
| **Access arrangements to / from the disposal land** | Network Rail will retain freehold ownership of all land required for operational purposes.  
Access to the proposed residential scheme from the highway will be from St Monica’s Road through the station car park (across Area B), which forms part of the station lease. This essentially follows the existing access route for the commercial uses over the station lease area.  
As part of the residential development, improvements are proposed to the layout of the station car park, subject to Station Change. These will include new pickup/drop-off areas for taxis and other rail users, with an internal, one-way, circulatory system over Area B. The residential development will share use |
of the one-way system with station users.

(N.B. The access rights to be granted over Area B may be less than the whole of Area B, and are dependent on consultations with the planning and highway authorities, as part of the planning application process for the residential scheme.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replacement rail facilities (if appropriate)</th>
<th>Not applicable as no facilities are to be disturbed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Rail benefits</td>
<td>When the residential development of Area A is implemented planned improvements to the station lease area include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Improvement of the station car park layout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Improvement of pedestrian safety in the station approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Provision of a taxi pick-up area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Provision of station pick-up and drop-off areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Improved service vehicle access within the station car park, more direct access to the track access point and provision of an adjoining turning-head for large vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>These matters will be subject to separate consultation as part of the Station change process and will be subject to obtaining planning permission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Non-rail benefits</td>
<td>The new residential development will enhance the appearance of the local area and improve compatibility with surrounding, predominantly residential use, by replacing existing commercial uses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Timescales</td>
<td>A planning application is expected to be submitted in November 2015. Subject to obtaining planning permission, development on site would commence on site in December 2016 with completion in January 2018. This timescale may be subject to variation dependent on the progress of the planning application through the planning process, site conditions etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Railway Related Issues</td>
<td>Historic O.S. plans first record the land being used as a siding / goods yard in 1913/14. This use is shown to have continued until 1962/3. The siding is not shown from 1969 onwards, and is presumed to have been used for non-rail commercial purposes only from thereon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When last used for railway related purposes</td>
<td>Area B (proposed residential access rights) is currently used as part of the station lease access road and will remain as such. The goods yard area was last used before 1969 for rail purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any railway proposals affecting the site since that last relative use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on current railway related proposals</td>
<td>Network Rail’s Strategic Planning team has been asked by the DfT to consider future platform extensions on this line. Platform extension works should not impact on the area proposed for disposal, although coordination of works would be required if likely to occur at the same time as the car park improvement works.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for future railway related use</td>
<td>The future use of the property has been considered by Network Rail’s Strategic Planning team with reference to the relevant Long Term Plan / RUS, and it is confirmed that the property (Area A) has no future railway related use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any closure or station change or network change related issues</td>
<td>The amendments to the station car park will be subject to formal consultation and Station Change procedure. No network change issues are envisaged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether disposal affects any railway (including train operator) related access needs, and how these are to be addressed in future</td>
<td>Operational track access is currently obtained through an existing commercial letting north-east of the station, managed by Network Rail’s Commercial Estate team. On completion of the residential development the vehicular route to the operational track access point will be more direct, through the extended station car park and have the benefit of an adjoining turning-head for large vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position as regards safety / operational issues on severance of land from railway</td>
<td>The disposal does not include any requirement for new fencing of the railway boundary, as sufficient fencing already exists. No boundaries of the freehold disposal site will immediately adjoin the railway. The disposal is on a basis under which Network Rail has had due regard (where applicable) to impact of the disposal on lineside works, including railway troughing, signalling and their maintenance. The disposal is without prejudice to Network Rail’s safety obligations, with which Network Rail will continue to comply. Network Rail’s network licence requires compliance with Railway Group Standards. These set out requirements for – amongst other things – fencing, access and signal sighting. In addition, the Railways and Other Guided Transport Systems (Safety) Regulations 2006 require Network Rail to have a safety management system and safety authorisation in respect of its mainline railway system and its railway infrastructure. These, in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
turn, require Network Rail to comply with Railway Group Standards as well as its own internal standards; and also continually to monitor changes to the risks arising from its operations and to introduce new control measures as appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Planning History and Land Contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning permissions / Local Plan allocation (if applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contamination / Environmental Issues (if applicable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Consultations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Railway (internal – Network Rail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of position as regards external consultations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of any unresolved objections together with recommendation by Network Rail as regards a way forward</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Local Authorities

| Names & Email Addresses: | Reigate & Banstead Borough Council  
Reigate Town Hall, Castlefield Road, Reigate, Surrey RH2 0SH  
@reigate-banstead.gov.uk |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Transport Authorities:</td>
<td>Surrey County Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Relevant Local Authorities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Internal Approval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation:</th>
<th>Based on the above, I recommend / authorise that Network Rail proceeds with the disposal.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declaration:</td>
<td>I have read and understood Network Rail’s Code of Business Ethics and Policy on Interests in Transactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveyor Name:</td>
<td>– Development Surveyor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approved by Property Development Manager</th>
<th>Name: Principal Development Manager</th>
<th>Date Approved by PDM: 16th December 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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This report is provided as a supplement to our forms for the proposed disposal of land at:

**Site location and description:**

**Land North of Kingswood Railway Station, East of St Monica’s Road & North of Waterhouse Lane, Surrey KT20 6EN.**

The property proposed for disposal is in two parts, identified on the plan referred to below:–

**Area A (c. 1.0 acre) – Freehold Disposal.** Consists mainly of commercial buildings, offices and external yard areas currently let by Network Rail to commercial tenants. It also includes two small areas on the northern edge of the station car park within the station lease area, mainly used for access to the commercial area.

**Area B (c. 0.25 acre) – Access rights (not freehold sale).** Forms part of the existing station car park within the station lease.

We have consulted in relation to this evaluation, and summarise the results of this as follows:

**Summary of position regarding responses:**

Consultations were sent to 26 parties. Only Grand Central Railway has not responded despite two email reminders sent on 23 and 31 July 2015. Two parties at Govia Thameslink Railway (GTR) were consulted as Southern Railways franchise expired and the new one granted to GTR.

Only one objection to the consultation proposal was received, from GTR. Following discussions with Network Rail GTR removed its objection provided that “the number of [station] parking bays will remain available along with suitable disabled facilities during the entirety of the works.”

The full list of external consultees is set out below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>External party (name)</th>
<th>Whether response received (y/n)</th>
<th>Date of response</th>
<th>Details of response (e.g. “no comment”), with reference to any accompanying copy representation in annexes to this report</th>
<th>Comments (e.g. as regards endeavours to obtain response where none given)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Department for Transport</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/7/2015</td>
<td>No comment on this proposal, other than to note that the station change procedure will need to be followed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arriva Trains Cross Country</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>8/7/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>c2c Rail Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>8/7/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chiltern Railway Company Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>8/7/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Eurostar International Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>8/7/2015</td>
<td>No issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>First Great Western Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>8/7/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Govia Thameslink Railway</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>17/8/2015 &amp; 13/11/2015</td>
<td>Initial comments and objection received. Objection now withdrawn with proviso.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Grand Central Railway Company</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reminder emails sent on 23rd and 31st July 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>London &amp; South Eastern Railway Limited (Southeastern)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9/7/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>New Southern Railway Limited (Southern) now GTR</td>
<td>Y (see line 7 above for detail. GTR has taken over franchise)</td>
<td>17/8/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Merseyrail Electrics 2002 Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>8/7/2015</td>
<td>No comments and objections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Northern Rail Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>10/7/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>COLAS Freight</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2/9/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Direct Rail Services Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/7/2015</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DB Schenker (Formerly EWS)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>31/07/2015</td>
<td>No objection to the proposed land disposal as described.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Freight Transport Association</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/7/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>GB Railfreight Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6/8/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Rail Freight Group</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>8/7/2015</td>
<td>Ok with RFG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>West Coast Railway Company</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4/8/2015</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>WH.Malcolm</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9/7/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Association of Community Rail Partnerships</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>13/7/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Y/N</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>British Transport Police</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6/8/2015 &amp; 17/8/2015</td>
<td>No comment. I see no issues with this land disposal from a BTP viewpoint but would stipulate that any changes to boundaries around the railway lines do not increase the risk of unlawful trespass to the infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>London Travelwatch</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3/8/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Transport for London</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>9/7/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Reigate &amp; Banstead Borough Council</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/7/2015</td>
<td>No comments at this stage. The Council is about to start pre-application planning discussions with Solum Regeneration about their proposals for the site which will provide the detailed advice on redevelopment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Freightliner</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>11/01/16</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copies of responses are given in the annexe B to this report, as indicated above.

A copy of the consultation request (before customisation for any individuals) is given in Annex A.
Annex A – Network Rail’s Consultation Request

From: [mailto @networkrail.co.uk]
Sent: 11 January 2016 11:06
To: @freightliner.co.uk
Cc:
Subject: FW: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal
Importance: High

I circulated a LC7 consultation document last summer which should have included seeking Freightliner's comments. Unfortunately I omitted Freightliner from the list of consultees in error, for which I apologise. I now attach the consultation documents and would be grateful if you could consider them and respond as a matter of urgency, as the LC7 application for specific consent to dispose of land has now been submitted to the ORR.

If you have any queries, please call me. My apologies again for omitting you from the consultation list.

Regards

Property
Network Rail
1 Eversholt Street | London | NW1 2DN
M +44 (0)
E @networkrail.co.uk
www.networkrail.co.uk/property

From: @networkrail.co.uk
Sent: 08 July 2015 16:02
To:
Subject: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Dear Consultee,

We seek to consult you as regards your views, please, on our proposed disposal by way of freehold sale and grant of easements for access and services.

We attach a draft application form to the Office of Rail Regulation which, with its related plan, explains the proposal in detail. Subject to the outcome of our consultation, we may make a formal application to the ORR for consent to make the disposal under the terms of our network licence land disposal condition. We would expect to make an application based on this form, updated in the light of consultation responses.

Alternatively, if in the light of the consultation responses, the proposed disposal would qualify to be made under the ORR’s general consent, we may complete it accordingly.

The ORR reviewed our land disposal arrangements so that from 1 April 2008, the ORR will no longer launch any separate consultations when we apply for consent to dispose of land. The arrangements are that we will consult and report the results to the ORR in conjunction with our application. It is therefore important that we have your views, so that these may be considered in the ORR’s decision.

We request your comments, please, by Thursday 6th August 2015 (including any “no comment” response). It would be helpful if your response is provided by email.

If you have any queries as regards this proposal, please direct them to xxxx, @networkrail.co.uk. If future consultations of this nature should be directed differently to your organisation, please advise us of the appropriate contact details, so we may amend our records.

Yours faithfully,
Annex B – Responses to Consultations

1 Department for Transport

From: [mailto:@railexecutive.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 July 2015 07:37
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

- the Department has no comment on this proposal, other than to note that the station change procedure will need to be followed.

Rail Network Outcomes, Department for Transport
3/23, GMH | 020

2 Arriva Trains Cross Country

From: [mailto:@crosscountrytrains.co.uk]
Sent: 08 July 2015 16:14
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

I can confirm that XC Trains Ltd has no comment on this proposed Disposal.

Kind regards
CrossCountry
Phone: Mobile: Fax:
Address: 5th Floor, Cannon House, 18 The Priory Queensway, Birmingham, B4 6BS

3 c2c Rail Limited

From: [mailto:@nationalexpress.com]
Sent: 08 July 2015 17:14
To:
Subject: Re: FW: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica’s Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

On behalf of National Express Group and NXET Trains Ltd, I confirm that we have no objection to the proposed disposal.

Rgds

4 Chiltern Railway Company Limited

From: EXTL:
Sent: 08 July 2015 16:35
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Hi
There are no comments from Chiltern.

All the best,
From: [mailto: @eurostar.com]
Sent: 08 July 2015 16:51
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

No issue for EIL,

Thanks

Eurostar International Limited
Times House | Bravingtons Walk | London N1 9AW

---

From: @firstgroup.com
Sent: 08 July 2015 16:36
To:
Subject: Re: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Good afternoon

Thank you for sight.
We have no objection.

First Great Western
3rd Floor | Milford House | 1 Milford St | Swindon SN1 1HL
e: @firstgroup.com | m:

---

From: [mailto:@GTRailway.com]
Sent: Friday, November 20, 2015 12:25 PM
To:
Subject: Re: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Good afternoon and apologies for the late response. I have been out of the business for over a week on project work.

In essence yes, we would ideally want the number of spaces retained as best as possible but I fully understand the challenges of such a major construction.

Once we have a better understanding of the plan and parking bay decision I am happy to discuss the lost revenue aspect and amend our previous caveat.

Regards

---

From: @networkrail.co.uk>
Sent: Friday, November 20, 2015 12:25 PM
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal
Thanks for this confirmation. Solum is looking at the practical means of retaining the same number of parking spaces during the development and we’ll get back to you with detail when we have this. You’ll appreciate that until planning permission has been achieved the scheme layout isn’t fixed and that the practicalities of construction phasing can only be absolutely determined when a contractor is appointed.

If it’s simply not feasible to retain the absolute number of parking spaces throughout, would GTR consider relaxing the proviso in your email below provided this were reflected in any loss of parking revenue calculation payable to GTR? I’m sure that a substantial proportion of the spaces can be retained throughout.

Regards

From: [mailto:@GTRailway.com]
Sent: 13 November 2015 17:50
To:
Subject: Re: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

, good evening.

In regard to our meeting with yourself and xxxx from Solum I conducted various discussions with interested parties and shared the plans forwarded to me by xxxx

As a result of these discussions I can now confirm that we (GTR) will withdraw* our objections to the planned works at Kingswood Station as shown in the email from xxxx on 17th August 2015 (below).

* This authorisation to proceed and removal of objection is pending confirmation that the number of parking bays will remain available along with suitable disabled facilities during the entirety of the works.

Can this please be confirmed in writing on receipt. We also require a copy of the draft station change document at the earliest possible opportunity.

Regards

From: [mailto: @GTRailway.com]
Sent: 12 November 2015 13:35
To:
Subject: Re: Kingswood - LC7

Good afternoon ,

I forwarded the plans sent to me by xxxx to xxxx and also to xxxx our xxxx to further understand if any objections remained regarding the planned works. I can confirm that there are no objections to the scheme.

Do you need a formal letter from GTR advising of this and our removal of previous objection?

Regards

From: <@networkrail.co.uk>
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2015 11:23 AM
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: Kingswood - LC7

At our meeting three weeks ago xxxx of Solum explained the overall development proposals together with the proposed improvements to the station car park layout and its enlargement to increase parking capacity. I understand you have since liaised with xxxx about the proposals.

We would like to progress with the LC7 application to the ORR and would appreciate further response from GTR following our recent discussion of the development benefits as they affect the station. I would hope that GTR is now able to withdraw its earlier objection. Do you need any further information from us before you are able to respond?

Regards
Afternoon

Reference is made to our previous correspondence and we list below our comments in this respect:-

1. Kingswood Station has no step-free access between platforms – except via the road overbridge. This step-free route, and the general access route to Platform 1, is via ‘Area B’ (the station approach/car park) which would appear to have no segregated pedestrian route, and as such there is already a potential conflict with vehicles. If access rights are available over this area, depending on the level of traffic generated by ‘Area A’, this conflict may be increased. Conflict with vehicles represents a particular risk to wheelchair users, visually-impaired people, children and people of short stature, amongst others. Any overall increase in vehicular traffic in this area (or increase in the concentration at particular times) should ideally be accompanied by the creation of a dedicated pedestrian walking route to Platform 1. There would also be the risk of vehicular-pedestrian conflict during construction activity in Area A.

2. We are of the opinion that there is insufficient detail on the changes and impact to the station car park and in particular if the number of spaces, including designated disabled spaces, can be increased to reflect current and projected passenger growth at the station. There is a clear demand for additional car parking spaces across the network. Nearby stations, namely Tadworth and Chipstead, have limited car parking and therefore an expansion at Kingswood would prove beneficial. It is also only half a mile from the A217 which makes it very easy for passengers to drive to/from the surrounding areas.

Based on the above, we now formally object to this Land Disposal.

Thanks and regards.

8 Grand Central Railway Company Limited

No response

9 London & South Eastern Railway Limited (Southeastern)

From: [mailto:@southeasternrailway.co.uk]
Sent: 09 July 2015 10:37
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Good morning

Thank you for the opportunity to review the below. Southeastern has no comment to make on this proposal.

Kind Regards

southeastern

Floor 3 Friars Bridge Court
41-45 Blackfriars Road
SE1 8PG
Merseyrail Electrics 2002 Limited

From: [mailto:@merseyrail.org]  
Sent: 08 July 2015 18:43  
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal  
Merseyrail have no comments and objections to the above proposals.  
Regards  
Merseyrail  
Tel  
Mob  
Email  @merseyrail.org

Northern Rail Limited

From: [mailto:@northernrail.org]  
Sent: 10 July 2015 09:00  
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal  
Hello  
Northern has no objection to the land disposal at Kingswood station, Surrey  
Kind regards and have a lovely, sunny weekend  
Northern Rail Ltd  
Northern House, York  
M:  
E: @northernrail.org

COLAS Freight

From: [mailto:@colasrail.co.uk]  
Sent: 02 September 2015 17:43  
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal  
Dear  
I have no comment.  
Thanks  
Regards  
Tel. - Mob.  
@colasrail.co.uk  
COLAS RAIL LTD  
Dacre House - Floor 2, 19 Dacre Street, London, SW1H 0DJ, United Kingdom  
www.colasrail.co.uk
From: [mailto:@drsl.co.uk]
Sent: 20 July 2015 10:44
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica’s Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

DRS have no comments in relation to the Kingswood Station consultation.
Kind regards,

Direct Rail Services, Kingmoor Depot,
Etterby Road, Carlisle CA3 9NZ

From: EXTL:
Sent: 31 July 2015 10:26
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica’s Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

I can confirm that DB Schenker has no objection to the proposed land disposal as described.
Yours,
DB Schenker Rail (UK) Ltd.
310 Goswell Road
London EC1V 7LW
Tel:
Fax:
Mobile:

From: [mailto:@fta.co.uk]
Sent: 23 July 2015 20:27
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica’s Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Apologies we have no comment.

Freight Transport Association
Mobile: www.fta.co.uk

From: [mailto:@gbrailfreight.com]
Sent: 06 August 2015 08:21
To:
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica’s Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

No comment
18  Rail Freight Group

From: [mailto:@rfg.org.uk]
Sent: 08 July 2015 16:09
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Ok with RFG

Rail Freight Group
7 Bury Place
London
WC1A 2LA
Tel Fax Mobile
@rfg.org.uk Twitter @railfreightUK

19  West Coast Railway Company

From: @aol.com
Sent: 04 August 2015 15:05
To:  
Subject: Re: FW: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North ... no comments

WCR T M

20  WH.Malcolm

From: [mailto:@whm.co.uk]
Sent: 09 July 2015 14:20
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

WH Malcolm has no objections to the proposal.
Regards.

Malcolm Group, Block 20, Newhouse Industrial Estate, Old Edinburgh Road, Newhouse, North Lanarkshire, ML1 5RY
Tel: | Int: Mobile: Email: @whm.co.uk Web: http://www.malcolmgroup.co.uk

21  Association of Community Rail Partnerships

From: [mailto:@btconnect.com]
Sent: 13 July 2015 11:32
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station , Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Hello
ACoRP have no objection to this disposal

Regards
ACoRP T: M
From: [mailto:@btp.pnn.police.uk] On Behalf Of SDD Business Support  
Sent: 17 August 2015 09:44  
To:  
Subject: FW: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal  

Apologies for late reply, please note BTPs response below  

From: @btp.pnn.police.uk  
Sent: 06 August 2015 13:15  
To: SDD Business Support  
Cc:  
Subject: FW: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal  

Dear Colleagues  
I would normally get one of my Divisional Staff to respond to this but I know they are both out of the office today.  I see no issues with this land disposal from a BTP but would stipulate that any changes to boundaries around the railway lines do not increase the risk of unlawful trespass to the infrastructure.  

Many thanks  
Crime Reduction Unit  
British Transport Police, 25 Camden Road, London NW1 9LN  
office  
internal  
mobile  
fax  
email @btp.pnn.police.uk  

From: [mailto:@btp.pnn.police.uk] On Behalf Of SDD Business Support  
Sent: 06 August 2015 12:52  
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal  

No comment  

From: [mailto:@londontravelwatch.org.uk]  
Sent: 03 August 2015 15:50  
To:  
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal  

Dear  
London TravelWatch has no objection to the aforementioned proposal.  

Regards
24 Transport for London

From: [mailto:@tfl.gov.uk]
Sent: 09 July 2015 12:01
To: 
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Hi
TfL has no comment on this property disposal.

Kind regards,
Rail Development Team | Rail & Underground Transport Planning | Transport for London
Zone 5Y7, Palestra, 197 Blackfriars Road, Southwark, London, SE1 8NJ
Tel: | Mobile: | Auto: | Email: @tfl.gov.uk

25 Reigate & Banstead Borough Council

From: [mailto:reigate-banstead.gov.uk]
Sent: 24 July 2015 09:28
To: 
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Dear
I have no comments at this stage. The Council is about to start pre-application planning discussions with Solum Regeneration about their proposals for the site which will provide the detailed advice on redevelopment.

Regards

26 Freightliner

From: [mailto: @Freightliner.co.uk]
Sent: 11 January 2016 12:01
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: RE: Kingswood Station, Surrey KT20 6EN - East of St Monica's Rd & North of Waterhouse Lane - Consultation on proposed land disposal

Freightliner has no comment to make on this proposal

Regards