Decision

1. On 21 August 2018, Network Rail gave notice of the intention to dispose of land at Transport Avenue, Brentford, London (the land), in accordance with paragraph 7.2 of condition 7 of Network Rail’s network licence. The land is described in more detail in the notice (copy attached).

2. We have considered the information supplied by Network Rail including the responses received from third parties consulted. For the purposes of condition 7 of Network Rail’s network licence, ORR consents to the disposal of the land in accordance with the particulars set out in the notice.

Reasons for decision

3. We are satisfied that Network Rail has consulted relevant stakeholders with current information and no objections were left unresolved.

4. In considering the proposed disposal, we note that:

   • there is no evidence that current railway operations would be affected adversely;
   • the land has a long-established use as a rail-served waste transfer and recycling centre and this is expected to continue for the foreseeable future. The land’s freehold is to be sold to the lessee who currently has a leasehold tenure until 2098, and the land’s continued use for waste transfer features in the West London Waste Plan 2015;
   • Network Rail will reserve the access rights it needs over the land; and
   • Network Rail has confirmed that the part of the land included on the supplementary strategic freight site list has been removed, having gained industry agreement to do so¹.

¹ The removal of designated strategic freight sites must be in accordance with Schedule 7 to the Supplemental Agreement for leases, site demarcations, connection agreements and BRT easements between British Railways Board and Railtrack PLC made 1 April 1994 pursuant to the Railtrack Transfer Scheme.
5. We also note from Network Rail’s submission evidence that it has been working with the London Borough of Hounslow (LBH) to assess the feasibility of reintroducing passenger services on the adjacent branch line. LBH’s agreement to the disposal of the land was also based on Network Rail’s confirmation that it will not proceed with the disposal until it has the approval of the Brentford station project team.

6. Therefore, based on all the evidence we have received and taking into account all the material facts and views relevant to our consideration under condition 7, we are satisfied that there are no further issues for us to address.

7. We have had regard to our decision criteria in Land disposal by Network Rail: the regulatory arrangements, December 2013, and balanced our section 4 duties given to us under the Railways Act 1993. In doing so we have given particular weight to our duty to exercise our functions in a manner which we consider best calculated to “protect the interests of users of railway services”.

8. We have therefore concluded that the proposed disposal is not against the interests of users of railway services. In light of that and our understanding of the transaction as set out above, we grant our consent to the proposed disposal of the land.

Les Waters
Duly authorised by the Office of Rail and Road

---

2 Available from www.rail-reg.gov.uk/server/show/nav.150
## Proposed Property Disposal

Application by Network Rail Infrastructure Limited to dispose of land in accordance with the Land Disposal Condition of the Network Licence

### 1. Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site location and description</th>
<th>Land and Sidings used as a waste transfer and recycling centre by the West London Waste Authority at Transport Avenue Brentford London TW8 9HF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plans attached:</td>
<td>The site is shown coloured blue on plan no 6291522-2-B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance Ref:</td>
<td>Business Clearance CR/33784 dated 18th October 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Clearance CR/33784 dated 24th November 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business Clearance CR/36321 dated 29 May 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Clearance CR/36321 dated 6 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project No.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordnance survey coordinates</td>
<td>E: 516463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N: 177961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Details of attached photographs (as required)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Proposal

| Type of disposal | It is proposed to sell the freehold of the land coloured blue on plan no 6291522-2-B. and to grant the purchaser a permanent right of way over the land shown coloured brown on plan no 6291522-2-B. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed party taking disposal</th>
<th>West London Waste Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use / scheme</td>
<td>The property is currently leased by Network Rail to the West London Waste Authority for a term of years that expires in 2047 (but with Tenant only options to call for further leases for terms expiring no later than 2098). West London Waste Authority use the property as a rail head for the transfer and distribution of containerised waste materials, the waste materials currently being sent to the Severnside Energy Recovery Centre in Bristol. The proposal will see Network Rail selling its freehold interest in the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access arrangements to / from the disposal land</td>
<td>The purchaser will be granted a permanent vehicular right of way over the roadway shown coloured brown on the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement rail facilities (if appropriate)</td>
<td>N/A - it is anticipated that after completion of the disposal West London Waste will continue for the foreseeable future to operate the site as a rail served waste transfer facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated rail benefits</td>
<td>Under the Hendy Review Network Rail has committed to the raising of £1.8 billion of cash proceeds, via asset disposals, by 2019/20. Under Project Falcon a number freight sites, including the subject site, have been identified for disposal for the purpose of raising capital towards the £1.8 billion target. The money raised by the disposals will thus directly support investment in the wider railway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated non-rail benefits</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Timescales

#### Comments on timescales

It is anticipated the sale will be completed in late 2018 as part of a limited number of disposals of freight sites arising out of the “Project Falcon” freight estate review.

### 4. Railway Related Issues

#### History of railway related use

The current use of the site as a rail connected waste transfer station commenced around 1977 and has continued since then.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>When last used for railway related purposes</strong></th>
<th>The site is currently in use as a rail connected waste transfer station.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any railway proposals affecting the site since that last relative use</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact on current railway related proposals</strong></td>
<td>The Western Route specification notes that “passenger traffic between Southall and Brentford Town station ceased in the 1940s. Continued development along the A4 Great Western Road corridor has increased the viability of reintroducing passenger services on the branch. Network Rail is working with London Borough of Hounslow to investigate the feasibility of upgrading the branch for passenger traffic”. As a condition of clearance the approval of the project team developing the passenger traffic upgrade scheme will be needed prior to proceeding with a disposal to ensure that a land sale will not prejudice the possible reintroduction of passenger traffic on the branch, including the development of a new station at Brentford.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potential for future railway related use</strong></td>
<td>Brentford Waste Transfer Terminal is noted as an existing freight site accessed by the Southall to Brentford Goods branch in the “other freight routes” section in the Western Route Specification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any closure or station change or network change related issues</strong></td>
<td>None. The existing connection agreement arrangements will continue post sale. The Freight Operating Companies have previously agreed that the property can be removed from the Strategic Freight Site list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whether disposal affects any railway (including train operator) related access needs, and how these are to be addressed in future</strong></td>
<td>No impact on access rights is envisaged.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Position as regards safety / operational issues on severance of land from railway

The disposal does not include any requirement for new fencing of the railway boundary, as sufficient fencing already exists. The disposal is on a basis under which Network Rail has had due regard (where applicable) to impact of the disposal on lineside works, including railway troughing, signalling and their maintenance. The disposal is without prejudice to Network Rail’s safety obligations, with which Network Rail will continue to comply. Network Rail’s network licence requires compliance with Railway Group Standards. These set out requirements for – amongst other things – fencing, access and signal sighting. In addition, the Railways and Other Guided Transport Systems (Safety) Regulations 2006 require Network Rail to have a safety management system and safety authorisation in respect of its mainline railway system and its railway infrastructure. These, in turn, require Network Rail to comply with Railway Group Standards as well as its own internal standards; and also continually to monitor changes to the risks arising from its operations and to introduce new control measures as appropriate.

---

### 5. Planning History and Land Contamination

| Planning permissions / Local Plan allocation (if applicable) | The West London Waste Plan July 2015 identifies the site as a safeguarded site for waste transfer related use. In addition the terms of sale will include a covenant restricting the site from being used for residential development. |
|Contamination / Environmental Issues (if applicable) | None relevant to this application.|

### 6. Local Authorities

<p>| Names &amp; Email Addresses: | London Borough of Hounslow <a href="mailto:@hounslow.gov.uk">@hounslow.gov.uk</a> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Transport Authorities:</th>
<th>Transport for London</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other Relevant Local Authorities:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**7. Internal approval to consult**

**Recommendation:** Based on the above, I recommend that Network Rail consults on the terms of disposal

**Declaration:** I have read and understood Network Rail’s code of Business Ethics and Policy on Interests in Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposer’s name:</th>
<th>Proposer’s job title: Senior Surveyor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signed:</td>
<td>Date…23 April 2018..........................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Authorised by (name):** | **Authoriser’s job title: Property Services Manager**

**8. Consultations**

**Internal consultation**

Internal clearance has been obtained for the proposal. The proposed sale documentation will reflect the stipulations requested by Network Rail’s internal consultees. As a condition of clearance the approval of the Brentford Station project team developing the passenger traffic upgrade scheme will be needed prior to proceeding with a disposal.

**Summary of position as regards external consultations**

It should be noted that the Freight Operating Companies have already been consulted regarding the proposed freehold disposal and have confirmed in writing that they have no objections to the proposed sale or any associated LC7 application or network change related to it. Hounslow LBC have requested that the Brentford Station Project Team confirm before any disposal takes place that the disposal will not prejudice the proposals to introduce a new passenger rail service on the adjoining branchline.
| Analysis of any unresolved objections together with recommendation by Network Rail as regards a way forward | Not applicable |

## 9. Internal approval to dispose

| Recommendation: | Based on the above, I recommend that Network Rail proceeds with the disposal subject to the Brentford Station Project confirming they are satisfied that the sale will not prejudice the proposed introduction of passenger service on the adjoining branchline. |
| Declaration: | I have read and understood Network Rail's code of Business Ethics and Policy on Interests in Transactions |

| Proposer’s name: | Proposer’s job title: |
| | Senior Surveyor |

| Signed……………………………………….. | Date……14 August 2018… |

| Authorised by (name): | Authoriser’s job title: |
| | Property Services Manager |

| Signed……………………………………….. | Date……14 August 2018….. |
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CONSULTATION REPORT

relating to

PROPOSED LAND DISPOSAL

This report is provided as a supplement to our forms for the proposed disposal of land at:

Brentford–Land and Sidings off Transport Avenue Brentford London shown coloured blue on plan no 6291522-2-B together with the grant of a permanent vehicular right of way over the road shown coloured brown

We have consulted in relation to this evaluation, and summarise the results of this as follows:

Summary of position regarding responses:

Initial Consultation

This consultation covered the proposed disposal of the land shown coloured blue on plan no 6071752B.

26 stakeholders were consulted, with 23 confirming they had no comments or objections. (Consultations were addressed to two parties in TfL but one of those parties replied on behalf of both consultees). 3 stakeholders (WH Malcolm, DB Cargo and Hounslow London Borough Council) lodged initial objections which, following discussion, were subsequently withdrawn.

WH Malcolm initially objected to the proposal in April 2018 on the basis the site was an active railfreight facility, but on being given details of
other sites available for railfreight use they withdrew their objection on 7 May 2018.

DB Cargo expressed a concern that the land and sidings proposed to be sold were to be used as a run round for existing freight traffic using the Brentford branch alongside proposed new passenger traffic that would use the branch is a new passenger station is constructed at the end of the branch adjoining the proposed disposal site. Following the provision of drawing no’s 145575-IDG-DRG-ECV-000400, 145575-IDG-DRG-ECV-000500, and 145575-IDG-DRG-ETR-000203 showing the initial high and low level option designs for the new station and siding layout, DB Cargo removed their objection on 10 August 2018.

Hounslow LBC are promoting the proposed new passenger station at Brentford and were concerned that the subject disposal might adversely impact on the ability to develop the station eg by losing the ability to use permitted development rights for minor amends post planning consent such as. boundary treatments. Following the provision of plans no 6344087-1 and 2 showing the initial designs for the new station overlaid on the proposed sale area, Hounslow LBC removed their objection on 10 August 2018, subject to the proviso that before any disposal takes place the Network Rail Station Project team must be satisfied that the disposal will not adversely impact on the ability to develop the station as compared with the current position of the subject property being leased to West London Waste Authority.

Please see the consultation report below for full details of these email exchanges.

Ancilliary Consultation

Whilst the original consultation was being progressed a request was received from the prospective purchaser to include an additional area of land in the disposal. The relevant land is shown verged red on plan no 6291552-1-B attached and comprises the main access road serving the site together with a supporting embankment. Given the use of the red verged land, the majority of it comprising part of the access road serving the West London Waste Authority site, and the land being remote from the operational railway network, it was considered the inclusion of this land in the proposed disposal would have no impact on the railway. A further consultation in respect of this additional area was carried out on the basis that if the consultee had no objection to the original consultation, it would be assumed that they would also have no objection to disposal of the additional land if they did not respond within 14 days of the ancillary consultation commencing. Of the 26 stakeholders consulted 9 did respond within the 14 day time period confirming they had no objection to the disposal. No objections were received to the ancillary consultation.

The full list of external consultees is set out below with their responses to the original consultation:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>External party</th>
<th>Contact name, email address and telephone</th>
<th>Whether response received (y/n)</th>
<th>Date of response</th>
<th>Details of response (e.g. “no comment”), with reference to any accompanying copy representation in annexes to this report</th>
<th>Comments (e.g. as regards endeavours to obtain response where none given)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>c2c Rail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>30/4/2018</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chiltern Railway</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4/6/2018</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eurostar UK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1/05/2018</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Great Western Railway</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/5/2018</td>
<td>This is fine as far as GWR is concerned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grand Central / Grand Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>29/5/2018</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>London and South Eastern Railway</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/4/2018</td>
<td>Southeastern has no comment on this proposal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Merseyrail Electrics 2002</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/4/2018</td>
<td>We have no objections, thanks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Northern Rail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24/4/2018</td>
<td>No objections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>South Western Railway</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24/05/2018</td>
<td>No objection to the proposed disposal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>XC Trains Limited</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14/5/2018</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>COLAS Freight</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24/6/2018</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Direct Rail Services Ltd</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>11/5/2018</td>
<td>No comments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>DB Cargo UK</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10/8/2018</td>
<td>Initial response: DB Cargo are involved discussions relating to the restoration of a long-lost passenger service in the branch, for which one of the solutions involves possible joint use of the run-round facility at WLWA with the adjacent customer and/or possible track rearrangement to restore a station on the site. Further proposals even involve a link across the Thames to the Hounslow Loop. Whilst the latter is probably fanciful, I feel that the site boundary should be re-checked with the project scope in mind.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR Response: Station Project. Scheme proposals have now changed from those you refer to and the Project has confirmed that it is no longer proposed that the West London Waste Sidings be used by other third party traffic operating into the rest of Brentford yard. My understanding is that third party freight traffic will be accommodated by
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Freight Transport Association</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/5/2018</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Freightliner Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/4/2018</td>
<td>Following recent ‘Project Falcon sign off’ of this site, I can confirm that Freightliner has no comment to make on this proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>GB Railfreight Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>25/5/2018</td>
<td>No objections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Rail Freight Group</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24/4/2018</td>
<td>Ok with RFG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>West Coast Railway Company</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14/05/2018</td>
<td>No comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>London TravelWatch</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3/5/2018</td>
<td>No objection to the aforementioned proposal, providing it does not impede future railway related works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Association of Community Rail Partnerships</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24/4/2018</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>British Transport Police</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>25/04/18</td>
<td>No objections to the proposal providing the safety and security of the railway is maintained and/or improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>DIT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>25/04/18</td>
<td>No objection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>WH Malcolm</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>7/5/2018</td>
<td>Original response: I presume there are alternative rail facilities readily available in the surrounding area without the need for significant capital investment and this is the justification for the sale. Please provide details of the alternative sites in the area which are available. NR response: I can confirm that Network Rail does own other sites in the region that can and do support railfreight activities. Having checked our website we are currently adverting railfreight sites to let at Southall, West Drayton, Park Royal, and Basingstoke, although some of these are under offer at the time of writing. Additionally we are currently looking at additional land being made available to let at Banbury SSFS and bringing part of the SSFS at Luton into use for railfreight purposes. WH Malcolm response: No objections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hounslow BC</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>2/5/2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Original response from Hounslow:; Broadly I am favour of WLW acquiring the freehold for 90% of the site, but I would rather NR kept their freehold interest in the area around the proposed station to ensure we can use your permitted development rights for minor amends post planning consent (e.g. boundary treatment etc). Suggests the title deed should be split, but the exact extents of that split perhaps is difficult to determine.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NR response: Having reviewed the recently produced drawings for the new station at our meeting today we concluded that, given the limited physical interface between the new station and the proposed sale area, you were prepared to remove your previous comments regarding the sale (see below). The removal of your previous comments is subject to the Station Project team reviewing the proposed terms of sale to ensure that by selling the land to WLWA we will not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
prejudice the deliverability of the station scheme comparing to deliverability of the scheme whilst WLWA holds a lease of their site.

If you could confirm your previous comments are withdrawn on the above basis that would be very helpful.

Hounslow response:

I agree that your summary represents the position of the Council.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>TfL</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/5/2018</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phoned 23 May 2018 and Loredana, confirmed her reply is on behalf of TfL and that Stephen Miles will not therefore respond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 26 | TfL | N |   | No response but TfL position covered by above response from TfL (Stephen Miles copied into that response) |

Copies of responses are given in the annexes to this report, as indicated above.
Land disposal consultation report
A copy of the original consultation request (before customisation for any individuals) is given in Annex 2 together with a copy of the ancilliary consultation.
Annex 1  Consultee Responses to original consultation

1  c2c Rail

From: @c2crail.net
Sent: 30 April 2018 08:52
To:  
Subject: Re: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Good morning

No objection from c2c on the below proposal.

Regards

Property and Projects Manager
2nd Floor, Cutlers Court, 115 Houndsditch, London EC3A 7BR
Regards

2. Chiltern Railway Company

Hi

No comments from Chiltern.

Sorry for the delay!

Regulatory Contracts Manager
Chiltern Railways
Hello

Further to our conversation last week are you able to confirm whether you have any comments on the above three LC7 consultations? I think you hoped you would have had any comments from your colleagues by Friday of last week?

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight, Property
Network Rail
1st Floor
Baskerville House
Centenary Square
Birmingham B1 2ND
E @networkrail.co.uk
www.networkrail.co.uk/property
3 Eurostar UK

From: @eurostar.com
Sent: 01 May 2018 12:01
To: 
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

No comment from EIL,
Thanks

PA to Chairman and to Company Secretary

Eurostar International Limited
Times House | Bravingtons Walk | London N1 9AW

4 Great Western Railway

From: @gwr.com
Sent: 23 May 2018 12:55
To: 
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Hello again

This is fine as far as GWR is concerned thank you.

Network Access Manager | Great Western Railway
1 Milford Street | Swindon | SN1 1HL
@GWR.com |
5 Grand Central / Grand Union

-----Original Message-----
From: @grandcentralrail.com
Sent: 29 May 2018 19:00
To: 
Subject: Re: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Dear

Thank you for forwarding the outstanding consultations.

In so far as Brentford is concerned, GC has no comment.

Regards

Chief Operating Officer
Grand Central Railway

Hello

As just discussed on the telephone- the other three sites will follow in separate emails

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight | Network Rail Property

From:
Sent: 23 April 2018 11:23
To:
Subject: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford
Land disposal consultation report
Please find enclosed a consultation form in respect of a proposed land disposal at Brentford, London.

I would be grateful if you could either confirm you have no comments on the proposal or make any comments you have on the proposal no later than Monday 21st May 2018

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight, Property
Network Rail
1st Floor
Baskerville House
Centenary Square
Birmingham B1 2ND
M
E @networkrail.co.uk

6 London and South Eastern Railway

From: @southeasternrailway.co.uk
Sent: 23 April 2018 11:56
To:
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Good Morning

Thank you for the opportunity to review the below.

Southeastern has no comment on this proposal.

Kind Regards

Access Contracts Business Partner
southeasternrailway.co.uk
7 Merseyrail Electrics 2002

From: @merseyrail.org  
Sent: 23 April 2018 11:26  
To:  
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

We have no objections, thanks

Legal & Contract Assistant  
Merseyrail

Email @merseyrail.org  
Web www.merseyrail.org

8 Northern Rail

From: @northernrailway.co.uk  
Sent: 24 April 2018 09:01  
To:  
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Northern have no objections to the below proposal.

Thanks,
On behalf of SWR, I confirm we have no objection to the proposed disposal – as below

Rgds

and

This land is unconnected with our station and network, and therefore has no effect on any of our current or possible future aspirations...

Best Regards,

Head of Station Property
South Western Railway

Address: Basingstoke Campus, Gresley Road, Basingstoke RG21 4JX
Mobile: Email: @swrailway.com
www.southwesternrailway.com
From: @firstgroup.com  
To: @FirstGroup.com , @swrailway.com"  
Date: 23/05/2018 11:43  
Subject: FW: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

With attachments

Kind regards,

Group Property Director  
FirstGroup plc  
Office: 8th Floor, The Point, 37 North Wharf Road, London W2 1AF  
@firstgroup.com  
www.firstgroupplc.com
Land disposal consultation report

10 XC Trains Limited (t/a CrossCountry)

From: @crosscountrytrains.co.uk
Sent: 14 May 2018 10:41
To: 
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Oxford [includes response to Brentford]

From: 
Sent: 14 May 2018 10:41
To: 
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Oxford

Hi

I don’t seem to have received any of the original emails you refer to. If you can resend this one for Oxford I’ll take a quick look & respond.

As for the other three reminders, on the basis that the locations are not on our route I’d be confident enough to say ‘no comment’ without seeing the supporting documentation. I’ll send separate replies for these if you need them.

Thanks

From: @crosscountrytrains.co.uk
Sent: 14 May 2018 10:46
To: 
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Oxford

The Oxford Consultation form is attached.

Your “no comment” below on the other three sites should suffice.

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight | Network Rail Property
11 COLAS Freight

From: @colasrail.co.uk
Sent: 24 April 2018 18:30
To:
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

No comment on proposed disposal

12 Direct Rail Services Limited

From: @drsl.co.uk
Sent: 11 May 2018 15:15
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Hi
Land disposal consultation report

DRS have no comments.

Best Regards,

Procurement Apprentice

Direct Rail Services Ltd
Regents Court
Baron Way
Carlisle
CA6 4SJ

E: @drsl.co.uk

13 DB Cargo UK

From: @deutschebahn.com
Sent: 10 August 2018 15:43
To:
Subject: Re: Brentford -LC7 Consultation - Land and sidings off Transport Avenue - Additional consultation

I can confirm that DB Cargo (UK) Limited has no objection to the proposed land disposal as described.

Yours,

Rail Network Manager
DB Cargo (UK) Limited
310 Goswell Road
Received OK. I will compare notes with the Operations Manager on Tuesday morning, as I’m with him at a meeting about Woking and come back in the afternoon.

From: @deutschebahn.com
Sent: 01 August 2018 11:54
To: @deutschebahn.com
Subject: Brentford- LC7 Consultation
Importance: High

Hello

Attached are the design drawings for the new station showing both the high and low level station options at Brentford. Does this give you what you need to enable you to respond?

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor
Property Services Freight
Network Rail Property
1st Floor
Baskerville House
Centenary Square
Birmingham B1 2ND

E @networkrail.co.uk
www.networkrail.co.uk/property
I'm on leave till Wednesday morning, when I will respond formally, but it would be useful to see the Brentford station project's draft track layout in confirmation of this.

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor
Property Service Freight
Network Rail Property

Hello

Further to the below I have discussed the issue with the Station Project. Scheme proposals have now changed from those you refer to and the Project has confirmed that it is no longer proposed that the West London Waste Sidings be used by other third party traffic operating into the rest of Brentford yard. My understanding is that third party freight traffic will be accommodated by changes to the network infrastructure.
Land disposal consultation report
I appreciate you will want to satisfy yourself (presumably through the network change process) that freight operations into Brentford can continue to operate alongside any new passenger service. I would hope that this information will enable you to withdraw your objection to the LC7 Consultation for the sale of the West London Waste site at Brentford, and on the assumption it does, I would be grateful if you could confirm this as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor
Property Service Freight
Network Rail Property

From: @deutschebahn.com
Sent: 05 June 2018 16:24
To: 
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

You're missing nothing, but this is mostly being handled by consultants, who are trying to avoid re-doubling the whole branch and having to squeeze in a station which doesn't really fit. My concern is that it is easier to do a rearrangement if NR had retained the lease. Accepting that a freehold sale is the object, we must make sure that there are no elements of a future dispute being built in through optimistic assumptions by various consulting bodies and their NR and LB of Hounslow sponsors. I'm on leave from tonight till Monday morning, but will accept phone calls to discuss further if need be.

05/06/2018 15:46:16---Thanks I'll raise this with the Project team but I would have thought that if someone else wa
I’ll raise this with the Project team but I would have thought that if someone else wants to use WLWA’s sidings for their own purposes, that would need the prior agreement of WLWA, whether WLWA lease the sidings as they currently do or whether they own them freehold. If it is proposed to remove some of the sidings from the WLWA yard to allow other parties to use them, that could only be done if WLWA agree regardless as to whether WLWA leased them or owned them freehold? On this basis whether WLWA own the freehold or leasehold (and they have a relatively long leasehold interest) seems relatively immaterial as in either case nothing can be done without the voluntary agreement of WLWA? Or am I missing something?

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight | Network Rail Property

That’s reassuring, but it’s pretty unlikely that they fully understand that in the event of the track through the loading area being sold, the dual use they seemed to envisage on previous occasions will become legally unachievable, as we can’t keep "buying" access to push portions of aggregate trains into there, not to mention maintenance and railhead treatment trains. We may need a wider "round table" meeting on this with the project team and affected parties before finalising boundaries. Unfortunately, the project team are having a meeting with the waste terminal management on a day which I can’t make (next Friday), so we need to remain connected.

05/06/2018 11:18:05---Thank you for your response We are very much aware of the proposed new station and passenger
Thank you for your response

We are very much aware of the proposed new station and passenger service proposals and as part of our internal clearance process have given an undertaking that we will not proceed with a disposal until the new station project team are content that a disposal will not prejudice their scheme.

Hopefully this assurance will enable you to confirm that DB Cargo has no objections to the disposal?

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight | Network Rail Property

From:  @deutschebahn.com  
Sent: 05 June 2018 11:05  
To:  
Subject: Re: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Although in principle, DB Cargo should have no objections, I am simultaneously involved in discussions relating to the restoration of a long-lost passenger service in the branch, for which one of the solutions involves possible joint use of the run-round facility at WLWA with the adjacent customer and/or possible track rearrangement to restore a station on the site. Further proposals even involve a link across the Thames to the Hounslow Loop. Whilst the latter is probably fanciful, I feel stingly that the site boundary should be re-checked with the project scope in mind.

Tel. xxxxxx

14  Freight Transport Association

From:  @fta.co.uk  
Sent: 23 May 2018 20:43  
To:  
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Apologies FTA has no comment.
15 Freightliner Limited

From: @Freightliner.co.uk
Sent: 23 April 2018 14:09
To:
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Hi

Following recent ‘Project Falcon sign off’ of this site, I can confirm that Freightliner has no comment to make on this proposal

Regards

---

16 GB Railfreight Limited

From: @gbrailfreight.com
Sent: 25 May 2018 17:55
To:
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

No objections from GB Railfreight.

Regards,

Head of Capacity Planning,
GB Railfreight Ltd.,
3rd Floor,
55 Old Broad Street,
London, EC2M 1RX.
E-mail: @gbrailfreight.com.

GB Railfreight Ltd. Registered in England & Wales No. 03707899.
Registered Office: 3rd Floor, 55 Old Broad Street, London, EC2M 1RX.

---
Ok with RFG

Executive Director

Rail Freight Group
7 Bury Place
London
WC1A 2LA
@rfg.org.uk

no comments

WCR
E @aol.com
London TravelWatch has no objection to the aforementioned proposal, providing it does not impede future railway related works.

Kind regards

---

No comment from Acorp.

Thanks

Senior Operations Manager
Good morning,

Please note BTP’s response below.

Thank you

Business Support Manager

Strategy & Performance Department
6th Floor, FHQ
Camden
NW1 9LN
British Transport Police

Email:
@btp.pnn.police.uk
www.btp.police.uk
To Whom It May Concern;

I have no objections to the proposal providing the safety and security of the railway is maintained and/or improved as a matter of course.

Kind Regards.

Designing out Crime Officer (DOCO)
B Division - Designing out Crime Unit (DOCU)
British Transport Police, Force Headquarters, 25 Camden Road, London, NW1 9LN
DX151960 Camden 4 (BTP Internal Mail Only)

Email: @btp.pnn.police.uk
DOCU Generic Email: @btp.pnn.police.uk
DOCU Office Coordinator: www.btp.police.uk

Located at;

British Transport Police
Second Floor, Grenville House, Nelson Gate
Southbrook Road, Southampton S015 1GX
Hi

The CRU number for this one is CRU-2018-0646

Also which stations have you done the accreditations for? Just so I can mark them off as done on the spreadsheet.

My previous email was missing the word “no”. See below.
Hi
The Department has no comment to make regarding this consultation.

Regards

Rail Planning & Investment Manager, Network Services West, Department for Transport
4/27

---

23 WH Malcolm

From: @whm.co.uk
Sent: 07 May 2018 13:22
To:
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Thanks

I confirm I have no objections to the proposal.

Regards
Thank you for your below email.

I can confirm that Network Rail does own other sites in the region that can and do support railfreight activities. Having checked our website we are currently advertising railfreight sites to let at Southall, West Drayton, Park Royal, and Basingstoke, although some of these are under offer at the time of writing. Additionally we are currently looking at additional land being made available to let at Banbury SSFS and bringing part of the SSFS at Luton into use for railfreight purposes.

I hope this will enable you to withdraw your objection.

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight | Network Rail Property

Please treat this response as an objection. Subject to your responses I am prepared to withdraw the objection.

Network Rail has made clear that it will not grant leases of rail sites unless they include unencumbered termination rights linked to levels of rail use. It is understood this is due to a scarcity of such sites and ensures availability long term.

Selling this site is contrary to the principles you are following. I presume there are alternative rail facilities readily available in the surrounding area without the need for significant capital investment and this is the justification for the sale.
24 Hounslow BC

From: @hounslow.gov.uk
Sent: 10 August 2018 15:45
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Dear [Name],

I agree that your summary below represents the position of the council.

Kind regards,

Head of Traffic, Transport & Environmental Strategy
Chief Executive’s Department

www.hounslow.gov.uk

Follow us online: Twitter: @LBofHounslow and Facebook: www.facebook.com/HounslowCouncil
Hello

It was good to meet you earlier today.

Having reviewed the recently produced drawings for the new station at our meeting today we concluded that, given the limited physical interface between the new station and the proposed sale area, you were prepared to remove your previous comments regarding the sale (see below). The removal of your previous comments is subject to the Station Project team reviewing the proposed terms of sale to ensure that by selling the land to WLWA we will not prejudice the deliverability of the station scheme compared to deliverability of the scheme whilst WLWA holds a lease of their site.

If you could confirm your previous comments are withdrawn on the above basis that would be very helpful.

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor
Property Services Freight
Network Rail Property
1st Floor
Baskerville House

From:  @hounslow.gov.uk
Sent:  02 May 2018 12:52
To:  
Subject:  RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

When would you need a comment from us by on the land sale?

Broadly I am favour of WLW acquiring the freehold for 90% of the site, but I would rather NR kept their freehold interest in the area around the proposed station to ensure we can use your permitted development rights for minor amends post planning consent (e.g. boundary treatment etc). Suggests the title deed should be split, but the exact extents of that split perhaps difficult to determine.
Kind regards,

Head of Traffic, Transport & Environmental Strategy
Chief Executive’s Department

----

From: @tfl.gov.uk
Sent: 23 May 2018 15:08
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: RE: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Hi

I am sorry for a slight delay in our response. I can confirm that TfL has no comment on this proposal.

Kind regards

----

TfL

See above
Network Rail’s Original Consultation Request (and reminder e-mail)

From:  
Sent: 23 April 2018 11:23  
To: @dft.gsi.gov.uk'; @crosscountrytrains.co.uk'; @c2crail.net'; @chilternrailways.co.uk';  
@eurostar.com'; @gwr.com'; @firstgroup.com'; @grandcentralrail.com;  
@southeasternrailway.co.uk'; @merseyrail.org'; @northernrailway.co.uk'; @colasrail.co.uk';  
@drsl.co.uk'; @deutschtbahn.com'; @fta.co.uk'; @freightliner.co.uk; 'maggie@rgf.org.uk';  
@aol.com'; @whm.co.uk'; @acorp.uk.com'; @btp.pnn.police.uk'; @londontravelwatch.org.uk';  
@tfl.gov.uk'; @tfl.gov.uk'; @hounslow.gov.uk'  
Subject: LC7 Consultation- Proposed sale of land at Brentford

Please find enclosed a consultation form in respect of a proposed land disposal at Brentford, London.

I would be grateful if you could either confirm you have no comments on the proposal or make any comments you have on the proposal no later than **Monday 21st May 2018**

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight, Property  
Network Rail  
1st Floor  
Baskerville House  
Centenary Square  
Birmingham B1 2ND  
E @networkrail.co.uk  
www.networkrail.co.uk/property
Good morning everyone,

Just a reminder that we are hoping to complete the consultation on the above by **Monday 21st May 2018** so if you are able to let me have a response to my below email this week that would be really helpful.

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight | Network Rail Property

xxxxxxxxxx
Good afternoon everyone

You will recall that I have recently carried out an LC7 consultation relating to a proposed disposal of land and sidings at the above location.

A the time of writing this email all bar two of the consultees have responded with no objections or comments on the disposal. Two parties have made a comment and we are currently working with those parties to see if the points of concern can be addressed.

The land subject to the original consultation is shown coloured blue on plan no 60717528 attached. The disposal proposal also included a proposed grant of a permanent vehicular right of way for the benefit of the purchaser over the roadway coloured brown.

Following discussions with the propose purchaser we would like to vary the original consultation proposals as follows:

1. The land verged red on plan no attached 6291522-1-B is proposed to be added to the original disposal area coloured blue. This land verged red comprises the road way serving the site (over which it was previously proposed to grant a permanent right of way ) and a supporting embankment. Network Rail will reserve rights of access over the roadway.

2. The purchaser will be granted a permanent right of way over the roadway shown coloured brown on the same plan ( this reflects a right of way already granted to West London Waste Authority under their existing lease of the site ).
The revised proposed disposal area incorporating these changes is shown coloured blue on plan no 6291522-2-B including the grant of a permanent right of way over the road coloured brown.

It is considered that neither of these amendments impact on the operational railway and are not considered to be contentious. In view of this, and to reduce workloads, I will assume that for those parties who did not object or comment on the original consultation, if I do not hear from you within the next 14 days I will assume that you have no objections to these amendments either and shall report back to the ORR accordingly. For the two parties who have raised concerns on the original proposal I would suggest we add this additional area into the ongoing discussions we are having, although in both cases I do not believe that they will impact on any existing concerns.

Please also note that as the site is a Supplemental Strategic Freight Site we have consulted with the railfreight operating companies on the proposed variations and they have confirmed they have no objections to the variations provided that any disposal reflects the conditions previously requested by the railfreight operating companies.

Kind regards,

Senior Surveyor Freight, Property
Network Rail
1st Floor
Baskerville House
Centenary Square
Birmingham B1 2ND

www.networkrail.co.uk/property