Network licence condition 7 (land disposal):
land south of Elstree and Borehamwood station

Decision

1. On 2 June 2015, Network Rail gave notice of its intention to dispose of land adjacent to Elstree and Borehamwood station, Hertfordshire (the land) in accordance with paragraph 7.2 of condition 7 of its network licence. The land is described in more detail in the notice (copy attached).

2. We have considered the information supplied by Network Rail including the responses received from third parties you have consulted. For the purposes of condition 7 of Network Rail’s network licence, ORR consents to the disposal of the land in accordance with the particulars set out in its notice.

Reasons for decision

3. We are satisfied that Network Rail has consulted all relevant stakeholders with current information. No alternative reasonably foreseeable railway use for the land was identified and no objections were received. We note that there is no evidence that railway operations at Elstree and Borehamwood station would be adversely affected and that Network Rail will retain its access rights to the operational railway.

4. We also note that planning permission is required to enable the disposal to progress. However, matters relating to town planning are outside our locus and instead are for the local authorities to consider and address.

5. Based on all the evidence we have received and taking into account all the material facts and views relevant to our consideration under condition 7, we are satisfied that there are no issues for us to address.

6. We have had regard to our decision criteria in Land disposal by Network Rail: the regulatory arrangements, December 2013,¹ and balanced our section 4 duties given to us under the Railways Act 1993. In doing so we have given particular weight to our duty to exercise our functions in a manner which we consider best calculated to “protect the interests of users of railway services”.

¹ Available from www.rail-reg.gov.uk/server/show/nav.150
7. We have therefore concluded that the proposed disposal is not against the interests of users of railway services and that our consent should be granted.

Les Waters

Duly authorised by the Office of Rail Regulation
## 1. Site

**Site location and description**
The site is located circa 50 metres south of Elstree and Borehamwood station and adjacent to a large residential development located in Coleridge Way. The rectangular shaped site is approximately 65 metres in length and a maximum of 30 metres in width. The site extends to approximately 0.2 hectares.

**Plans attached:**
(all site plans should be in JPEG format, numbered and should clearly show the sites location approximate to the railway)

- **Plan 1:** Site Location Plan (scale 1:5000) illustrating the location of Elstree & Borehamwood Station in relation to the site.
- **Plan 2:** Sale Plan (scale 1:1250) illustrating the land considered for disposal coloured blue, Network Rail’s retained land coloured green, land granted LC7 consent for disposal in 2011 hatch blue and the access land owned by Taylor Wimpey coloured red.
- **Plan 3:** Elstree LC7 Plan (scale 1:2500) of adjacent site granted specific consent by the ORR in 2011, which now has planning permission for 43 residential units.
- **Plan 4:** Architect’s Site Layout Plan (scale 1:100 @ A1) illustrating the proposed scheme of the residential units. This scheme may change once planning permission is sought.

**Clearance Ref:** CR/24580. Stage 1 clearance was granted approval on 20 January 2015 and Stage 2 Clearance on 18 February 2015.

**Project No.** S03300

**Ordnance survey coordinates**
Easting: 519399 / Northing: 195761

**Photographs (as required)** See Appendix 1

## 2. Proposal

**Type of disposal (i.e. lease/freehold sale)** Freehold / long leasehold / easement.

**Proposed party taking disposal** xxxx

**Proposed use / scheme** Residential.

**Access arrangements to/from the disposal land** Access to the site from the adopted highway Coleridge Way is via a parcel of land owned by a third party, xxxx.

**Replacement rail facilities (if appropriate)** Not applicable.

**Anticipated Rail benefits** The development will improve the appearance of the area and provide natural surveillance helping deter anti-social behaviour and trespass.
The disposal will remove the maintenance and management liability associated with the land. It shall also enable the delivery of housing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticipated Non-rail benefits</th>
<th>The disposal will result in the enhancement of the local built environment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 3. Timescales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments on timescales</th>
<th>We forecast a planning application will be submitted during 2015, which should be determined in 13 weeks. The disposal is expected to complete in 2016.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 4. Railway Related Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>History of railway related use</th>
<th>The subject site has no recorded history of use for railway purposes other than making up the lower part of an embankment. There is a tunnel portal located circa 400 metres to the south where water run off collects from higher ground further to the south. Network Rail has constructed a drain from the tunnel portal the length of the other development site and into the adopted sewer in Coleridge Way. That development is further improving the current system in place by upgrading the current infrastructure. The proposed development will utilise this new infrastructure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When last used for railway related purposes</td>
<td>We do not believe the site has been used for railway purposes, other than part of the site making up the lower part of an embankment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any railway proposals affecting the site since that last relative use</td>
<td>There are no known railway proposals affecting the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on current railway related proposals</td>
<td>Clearance was granted subject to enough land being retained to accommodate possible future platform extensions. Therefore the new operational boundary has ensured a minimum 5 metres clearance to the nearest running rail, a minimum of 3 metres beyond the rear face of the overhead line equipment masts / stanchions and a minimum of 3 metres beyond the line of the return conductor. Whilst no industry stakeholder has identified any potential railway related use for the site, as part of its internal consideration, Network Rail has assessed whether the site could offer any potential use as a station car parking facility. However, although the site is only approximately 50 metres as ‘the crow flies’ from Elstree and Borehamwood station, the site is approximately 350 metres away via the highway network. Furthermore there is no independent access to the site from the adopted highway. As such we consider the site is not appropriate for the use as additional station car parking. With reference to the East Midlands RUS, published in February 2010, we have noted reference to works between March 2009 and December 2011 as part of the Thameslink project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for future railway related use</td>
<td>The RUS has been reviewed and no potential for future railway use has been identified. The internal consultation undertaken as part of the clearance process did not identify any potential future railway use with the exception of safeguarding enough land for possible future platform extensions including the provision of overhead line equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any closure or station change or network change related issues</strong></td>
<td>There are no station change or network change issues involved with this disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whether disposal affects any railway (including train operator) related access needs, and how these are to be addressed in future</strong></td>
<td>Subject to the results of stakeholder consultation, no railway related access needs have been identified by Network Rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position as regards safety / operational issues on severance of land from railway</strong></td>
<td>The disposal includes arrangements under which the other party will install new boundary fencing along parts of the railway boundary and sufficient fencing already exists for the rest. The disposal is on a basis under which Network Rail has had due regard (where applicable) to impact of the disposal on lineside works, including railway troughing, signalling and their maintenance. The disposal is without prejudice to Network Rail’s safety obligations, with which Network Rail will continue to comply. Network Rail’s network licence requires compliance with Railway Group Standards. These set out requirements for – amongst other things – fencing, access and signal sighting. In addition, the Railways and Other Guided Transport Systems (Safety) Regulations 2006 require Network Rail to have a safety management system and safety authorisation in respect of its mainline railway system and its railway infrastructure. These, in turn, require Network Rail to comply with Railway Group Standards as well as its own internal standards; and also continually to monitor changes to the risks arising from its operations and to introduce new control measures as appropriate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5. Planning History and Land Contamination

| **Planning permissions / Local Plan allocation (if applicable)** | The site is has no specific land allocation within the Hertsmere Borough Council’s adopted local plan (2003) and any development would be subject to planning consent. The location of the station is significant in providing a sustainable transport choice for residents of the area. This is in keeping with the Government’s objective of reducing the need to travel by private car, as outline in PPS 1 - Delivery Sustainable Communities. |
| **Contamination / Environmental Issues (if applicable)** | The site comprises a green field embankment and therefore it is unlikely contamination exists on the site of any significance. Any contamination identified is likely to have migrated from the adjacent site, which was industrial use up until the early 1990’s and has since been remediated and developed for extensive housing circa 20 years ago. However, any contamination identified on site will be subject to remediation by the developer. |

### 6. Consultations

| **Railway (internal - Network Rail)** | Property and operational clearance have been obtained (CR/24580). |
| **Summary of position as regards external consultations** | The were no objections and two stakeholders made comments as follows:

**Hertfordshire County Council:** HCC Transport Access and Safety |
Unit do not have an objection as no alternative uses are identified in the existing transport strategies for the area. However this is on the basis that any future uses of the land would go through the relevant planning process and as such would be subject to consultation with statutory consultees and local residents.

**Hertsmere Borough Council:** Subject to there being no objections from Hertfordshire County Council, there would be no objection of our own on rail or transport use grounds to the proposed disposal. This is made without prejudice to our consideration of any planning proposals (including traffic impact) which come forward on the site.

| Analysis of any unresolved objections together with recommendation by Network Rail as regards a way forward | Not applicable. |

### 7. Local Authorities

| Names & Email Addresses: | (@hertfordshire.gov.uk)  
(@hertsmere.gov.uk) |
| Local Transport Authorities: | Hertfordshire County Council |
| Other Relevant Local Authorities: | Hertsmere Borough Council |

### 8. Internal Approval

| Recommendation: | Based on the above, I recommend / authorise that Network Rail proceeds with the disposal. |
| Declaration: | I have read and understood Network Rail’s Code of Business Ethics and Policy on Interests in Transactions. |

| Surveyor Name: |  |
| Approved by Property Development Manager | Name: | Date Approved by PDM: 18th May 2015 |
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PROPOSED LAND DISPOSAL CONSULTATION REPORT

relating to

APPLICATION BY NETWORK RAIL INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED FOR REGULATORY CONSENT UNDER THE LAND DISPOSAL CONDITION OF ITS NETWORK LICENCE

This report is provided as a supplement to our forms for the proposed disposal of land at: This report is provided as a supplement to our forms for the proposed disposal of land at:

**Site location and description:** The site is located circa 50m south of Elstree and Borehamwood Station and adjacent to a large residential development located in Coleridge Way. The rectangular shaped site is approximately 65m in length and a maximum of 30m in width. The site extends to approximately 0.2 hectares.

We have consulted in relation to this evaluation, and summarise the results of this as follows:

**Summary of position regarding responses:** All the consultees responded, there were no objections.

**Hertfordshire County Council:** HCC Transport Access and Safety Unit do not have an objection as no alternative uses are identified in the existing transport strategies for the area. However this is on the basis that any future uses of the land would go through the relevant planning process and as such would be subject to consultation with statutory consultees and local residents.

**Hertsmere Borough Council:** Subject to there being no objections from Hertfordshire County Council, there would be no objection of our own on rail or transport use grounds to the proposed disposal. This is made without prejudice to our consideration of any planning proposals (including traffic impact) which come forward on the site.

The full list of external consultees is set out below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>External party (name)</th>
<th>Whether response received (y/n)</th>
<th>Date of response</th>
<th>Details of response (e.g. “no comment”), with reference to any accompanying copy representation in annexes to this report</th>
<th>Comments (e.g. as regards endeavours to obtain response where none given)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Department for Transport</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14/04/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Arriva Trains Cross Country</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/03/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>c2c Rail Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>19/03/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chiltern Railway Company Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/03/2015</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>East Midlands Trains Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>22/04/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Eurostar International Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No issue</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>First Great Western Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>30/03/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Govia Thameslink Railway</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/04/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Grand Central Railway Company Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>28/04/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>London Overground Rail Operations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24/03/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>External party (name)</td>
<td>Whether response received (y/n)</td>
<td>Date of response</td>
<td>Details of response (e.g. “no comment”), with reference to any accompanying copy representation in annexes to this report</td>
<td>Comments (e.g. as regards endeavours to obtain response where none given)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>London &amp; South Eastern Railway Limited (Southeastern)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>16/04/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Merseyrail Electrics 2002 Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No comments of objections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Northern Rail Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Alliance Rail Holdings</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>COLAS Freight Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21/04/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Direct Rail Services Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>11/05/2015</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>DB Schenker</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>15/04/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Freight Transport Association</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>15/04/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Freightliner Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>GB Railfreight Limited</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>23/03/2015</td>
<td>No issues</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rail Freight Group</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>19/03/2015</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>West Coast Railway Company</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>22/04/2015</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>W. H. Malcolm</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No objections</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Association of Community Rail Partnerships</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>British Transport Police</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>22/04/2015</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>London Travelwatch</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>08/04/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Transport for London</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>01/04/2015</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Hertfordshire County Council</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21/04/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>See comments below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Hertsmere Borough Council</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>20/03/2015</td>
<td>No objection</td>
<td>Subject to there being no objections from Hertfordshire County Council, there would be no objection of our own on rail or transport use grounds to the proposed disposal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copies of responses are given in the annexes to this report, as indicated above.

A copy of the consultation request is given in below in Annex 1.

Network Rail’s consultation request is enclosed in Annex 2.
Annex 1 – Consultation Responses

1. Department for Transport
From: [mailto:railexecutive.gsi.gov.uk]
Sent: 14 April 2015 07:50
To:
The Department has no comment in this proposal.
Regards

2. Arriva Trains Cross Country
From: [mailto:crosscountrytrains.co.uk]
Sent: 23 March 2015 12:23
To:
XC Trains has no objection to this proposal.
Regards

3. c2c Rail Limited
From: [mailto:nationalexpress.com]
Sent: 19 March 2015 17:10
To:
On behalf of National Express Group and NXET Trains Ltd, I confirm that we have no objection to the proposed disposal
Rgds

4. Chiltern Railway Company Limited
From: [mailto:EXTL]
Sent: 23 March 2015 14:30
To:
Hello
There are no comments from Chiltern Railways.
Kind regards,

5. East Midlands Trains Limited
From:
Sent: 22 April 2015 12:25
To:
Cc:
With respect to the land disposal referred to above, on behalf of East Midlands Trains Ltd and Stagecoach South Western Trains Ltd 'No Comment'

6. Eurostar International
From: [mailto:eurostar.com]
Sent: 20 March 2015 09:27
To:
No issue for EIL
Thanks

7. First Great Western Limited
From: @firstgroup.com
Sent: 30 March 2015 15:52
To:
Subject: Re: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date 17th April 2015
Good afternoon
We have no comment thank you.

8. Govia Thameslink Railway
From: [mailto:@GTRailway.com]
Sent: 23 April 2015 11:48
To:
Subject: Re: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date 17th April 2015
I am pleased to confirm that GTR has no objection to this proposal

9. Grand Central Railway Company Limited
From: EXTL: (@grandcentralrail.com)
Sent: 28 April 2015 08:47
To:
Subject: Re: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date 17th April 2015
Dear
Apologies for the delay in responding.
Grand Central has no comment on this proposal.
Regards

10. London Overground Rail Operations Limited
From: [mailto:lorol.co.uk]
Sent: 24 March 2015 07:38
To:
LOROL has no comment to make regards this proposal.
Regards,

11. London & South Eastern Railway Limited (Southeastern)
From: [mailto:@southeasternrailway.co.uk]
Sent: 16 April 2015 08:14
To:
Good morning
Thank you for the opportunity to review the below.
Southeastern have no comment on this proposal.
Kind Regards
12. Merseyrail Electrics 2002 Limited
From: [mailto:@merseyrail.org]
Sent: 20 March 2015 08:17
To: 
Merseyrail have no comments or objections to the above proposal.
Regards

13. Northern Rail Limited
From: [mailto:@northernrail.org]
Sent: 20 March 2015 09:15
To: 
Hello
Northern has no objection to the land disposal at Elstree and Borehamwood
Kind regards and have a lovely Friday

14. Alliance Rail Holdings
From: [mailto:@alliancerail.co.uk]
Sent: 20 March 2015 13:27
To: 
Alliance has no comments
Regards

15. COLAS Freight
From: [mailto:@colasrail.co.uk]
Sent: 21 April 2015 17:14
To: 
Dear
I have no comment.
Regards

16. Direct Rail Services Limited
From: [mailto:@drsl.co.uk]
Sent: 11 May 2015 11:27
To: 
DRS have no comments in relation to the Elstree & Borehamwood consultation.
Kind regards,

17. D B Schenker
From: EXTL:
Sent: 15 April 2015 14:20
To: 
I can confirm that DB Schenker has no objection to the proposed land disposal as described.

18. Freight Transport Association
From: [mailto:@fta.co.uk]
Sent: 15 April 2015 17:50
To: 
Subject: RE: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date
17th April 2015
FTA has no comment.
Regards,

19. Freightliner Limited
From: [mailto:@Freightliner.co.uk]
Sent: 20 March 2015 16:18
To: 
Subject: RE: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date
17th April 2015
Hi
Freightliner has no comment to make on this
Regards

20. GB Railfreight Limited
From: EXTL:
Sent: 23 March 2015 19:47
To: 
Subject: RE: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date
17th April 2015
No issues from GB Railfreight.

21. Rail Freight Group
From: RFG [mailto:rfg.org.uk]
Sent: 19 March 2015 19:39
To: 
Subject: Re: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date
17th April 2015
Ok with RFG

22. West Coast Railway
From: @aol.com
Sent: 22 April 2015 12:06
To: 
Subject: Re: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal...
no comments

23. W. H. Malcolm
From: [mailto:@whm.co.uk]
Sent: 20 March 2015 10:38
To: 
Subject: RE: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date
17th April 2015
WH Malcolm has no objections to the proposal.

24. Association of Community Rail Partnerships
From: [mailto:@btconnect.com]
Sent: 20 March 2015 12:36
To: 
Subject: RE: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date
17th April 2015
Hello
ACoRP have no objection to this disposal.
Regards

25. British Transport Police
From: [mailto: @btp.pnn.police.uk]
Sent: 22 April 2015 09:55
To:  
Good morning,
Please note that BTP have no comments to make in regard the above Land Disposal request. Thank you

26. London Travel Watch
From: [mailto:@londontravelwatch.org.uk]
Sent: 08 April 2015 12:14
To:  
Dear London TravelWatch has no objection to the aforementioned proposal.
Regards

27. Transport for London
From: [mailto:@tfl.gov.uk]
Sent: 01 April 2015 11:48
To:  
Hi
TfL has no comment to make on this proposed property disposal.
Kind regards,

28. Hertfordshire County Council
From: [mailto:@hertfordshire.gov.uk]
Sent: 21 April 2015 13:56
To:  
Subject: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal:
Dear
Thank for your email and attachments sent to the County Council on the 25th of March and discussed last week.
In respect to the proposal for the disposal of the land HCC Transport Access and Safety Unit do not have an objection as no alternative uses are identified in the existing transport strategies for the area. However this is on the basis that any future uses of the land would go through the relevant planning process and as such would be subject to consultation with statutory consultees and local residents. I would also seek assurance that you have consulted upon the matter with Hertsmere Borough Council. If you have any queries in relation to the matter please do not hesitate to contact me.
Kind regards

29. Hertsmere Borough Council
From: [mailto:@hertsmere.gov.uk]
Sent: 20 March 2015 16:00
To:  
Dear
Further to our conversation, I can confirm that subject to there being no objections from Hertfordshire County Council, there would be no objection of our own on rail or transport use grounds to the proposed disposal. This is made without prejudice to our consideration of any planning proposals (including traffic impact) which come forward on the site.

Yours sincerely
Annex 2 – Network Rail’s Consultation request

From: @networkail.co.uk
Sent: 19 March 2015 16:46
To: 
Cc: 
Subject: Property: Elstree & Borehamwood 2. Consultation on proposed land disposal: final response date 17th April 2015

Dear Consultee

We seek to consult you as regards your views, please, on our proposed disposal by way of freehold / long lease hold sale

We attach a draft application form to the Office of Rail Regulation which, with its related plan(s), explains the proposal in detail. Subject to the outcome of our consultation, we may make a formal application to ORR for consent to make the disposal under the terms of our network licence land disposal condition. We would expect to make an application based on this form, updated in the light of consultation responses.

Alternatively, if in the light of the consultation responses, the proposed disposal would qualify to be made under ORR’s general consent, we may complete it accordingly.

ORR reviewed our land disposal arrangements so that from 1 April 2008, ORR will no longer launch any separate consultations when we apply for consent to dispose of land. The arrangements are that we will consult and report the results to ORR in conjunction with our application. It is therefore important that we have your views, so that these may be considered in ORR’s decision.

We request your comments, please, by Friday 17th April 2015 (including any “no comment” response). It would be helpful if your response is provided by email.

If you have any queries as regards this proposal, please direct them to xxxx on the details below. If future consultations of this nature should be directed differently to your organisation, please advise us of the appropriate contact details, so we may amend our records.

Yours faithfully

1 Eversholt Street
London, NW1 2DN

Tel: 020
Mob: 
Email: @networkrail.co.uk
Web: www.networkrail.co.uk