Periodic Review 2013 Freight performance regimes

Paul Hadley, Head of Operations 25 July 2011



Issues

- Recalibration
- Payment curve
- Cancellations
- Link to Service Variations



Service variations (Schedule 4)

- Have there been any perversities or conflicts with Schedule 8?
 - More cumbersome to administer?
 - Issues with ITPS?
 - Issues with PDI-F?



Re-calibration

- Should Network Rail payment rate be reviewed (other than being indexed for inflation)?
 - Have FOC cost structures changed?
 - Could 'Freight Value of Time' findings be factored in?
- FOC payment rate to be adjusted in line with 'star model' update
- Benchmarks to be reviewed on basis of new 'calibration period', probably two years
- Network Rail trajectory to depend on Plan
- Should there be a FOC improvement trajectory?



Payment curve

- Freight regime is currently unique in terms of bonuses being paid at half of compensation rate – reflecting lack of MRE concept for most freight revenue
- However, this seems to have led to larger number of disputes and longer time to resolve for some operators
 - Transaction costs
 - Commercial relationships
 - Cash flow
- Would a single 'straight' payment rate be better?



Cancellations

- Absence of benchmark means that payments by Network Rail are not explicitly funded within the regime. Is this an issue?
- Has higher rate threshold percentage been appropriate?



Discussion

Any other issues not captured?

