James Osborn Executive, Access and Licensing



BY EMAIL ONLY

24 September 2020

Matthew Short
Head of Franchise Management
Govia Thameslink Railway
2nd Floor, Monument Place
24 Monument Street
London
EC3R 8AJ

Dear Matthew,

Newhaven Marine station – Department for Transport request for ratification of closure

- 1) Please find enclosed a notice ratifying the closure of Newhaven Marine station. Under the power granted in s.32(9)(b) of the Railways Act 2005 (the Act), the Office of Rail and Road (ORR) requires Govia Thameslink Railway Limited to display the ratification notice at Newhaven Marine station for a period of 4 weeks following the date of the notice.
- 2) Please note that the notice also requires the operator of the stations in the area affected by the closure to display a copy of the closure ratification notice at those stations for a period of 4 weeks following the date of the notice. You (Govia Thameslink Railway Limited) are also required to display the notice at the following stations: Brighton, Lewes, Newhaven Harbour, Newhaven Town
- 3) I have written separately to Network Rail Infrastructure Limited concerning the requirement to display the notice at London Victoria station.
- 4) I will today send a copy of the closure ratification notice to the Department for Transport, and to all the parties consulted by the Department for Transport. A copy of the notice will also appear on the ORR website and will be placed on the ORR public register.
- 5) In deciding whether to ratify this proposed closure, ORR has checked that the Department for Transport has:
 - a) provided ORR with the appropriate documentation;



Head Office: 25 Cabot Square, London E14 4QZ T: 020 7282 2000 www.orr.gov.uk



- b) followed the consultation requirements laid down in the Act, and by the Railways Closure Guidance issued by the Secretary of State on 18 October 2006;
- c) reasonably applied the criteria for appraising such proposals, as laid out in the Railways Closure Guidance; and
- d) concluded that the proposal represents good value for money, and that this conclusion is reasonable.
- 6) During the process of considering ratification, the proposal was posted on the ORR website. We did not receive any representations in relation to the request for ratification.

Yours sincerely

James Osborn