

Stefano Valentino
Senior Executive
Legislative Development Team
Railway Safety Directorate
Office of Rail Regulation
One Kemble Street
London WC2A 4AN

London Midland
PO Box 4323
Birmingham B2 4JB

Tel: 0121 654 1319
Fax: 0121 654 1109

19th May 2010

Dear Stefano

**The Railways and Guided Transport (Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2010:
Consultation Document – March 2010**

Please find below London Midland's response to the questions posed in Annex A in the above consultation document.

Question 1: Do you agree that we should not change the current position on the exclusions from ROGS? If you do not agree, please explain why.

Yes, we are in agreement.

Question 2: Do you know of any circumstances in which vehicles registered and maintained according to the laws of a non-EU Member State enter Great Britain? If so, please explain.

No

Question 3: Do you know of any circumstances in which vehicles with track gauges other than standard gauge enters Great Britain? If so, please explain.

No

Question 4: Do you know of any circumstances in which military equipment or special transport will require an ad hoc permit to be delivered prior to being in service? If so, please explain.

No

Question 5: Do you know of any circumstances in which those vehicles that are excluded from the mainline railway, as defined in ROGS, may require an ad hoc permit to be delivered prior to being placed in service in Great Britain? If so, please explain.

A possible example is the delivery of a vehicle such as the Class 139 Parry People Mover onto part of the network adjacent to the Stourbridge Branch with road access. A permit may be required for movement onto the branch.

Question 6: Do you agree that RAIB should be the investigating body for accidents on tramways in Scotland?

Yes

Question 7: Do you have any comments on the new definitions? If you have any objections, please could you explain why and suggest an alternative.

Clarification on who can be on ECM is required. In particular, will the guidance allow a contracted maintainer to be an ECM despite the Safety Authorisation being held by the TOC?

Question 8: Do you agree that these regulations should contain the date by which domestic vehicles should have been registered in the NVR?

Yes

Question 9: Do you have any comments on the proposal to extend the meaning of work to include voluntary work?

No comments

Question 10: Do you have any other comments to make in relation to this consultation document?

No comments

Yours sincerely



**Paul Frost
Head of Safety and Standards**